

**PLANNING DEPARTMENT**

P.O. Box 470  
1142 Route 311  
Patterson, NY 12563

Melissa Brichta  
*Secretary*

Richard Williams  
*Town Planner*

Telephone (845) 878-6500  
FAX (845) 878-2019



**TOWN OF PATTERSON  
PLANNING & ZONING OFFICE**

**ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

Howard Buzzutto, Chairman  
Mary Bodor  
Marianne Burdick  
Lars Olenius  
Martin Posner

**PLANNING BOARD**

Herb Schech, Chairman  
Michael Montesano  
David Pierro  
Shawn Rogan  
Maria Di Salvo

**Planning Board  
September 14, 2006 Meeting Minutes**

Held at the Patterson Recreation Center  
65 Front Street  
Patterson NY 12563

**PATTERSON CROSSING RETAIL CENTER  
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
PUBLIC HEARING**

Present were: Vice Chairman Mike Montesano, Board Member Dave Pierro, Board Member Shawn Rogan, Board Member Maria DiSalvo and Anthony Mangone, Attorney with Santangelo, Randazzo & Mangone, LLP, Special Counsel hired by the Patterson Town Board for the project arrived late.

Vice Chairman Montesano took the seat of the Chairman in his absence.

Meeting called to order at 6:05 p.m.

Approximately 82 audience members were present.

Board Member Rogan stated good evening ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the Patterson Planning Board's public hearing on the Patterson Crossing project Draft Environmental Impact Statement. If you please join us the flag is up and to your right, if you would please stand and join us to the salute to the flag.

Board Member Rogan stated thank you ladies and gentlemen please be seated. Good evening ladies and gentlemen and as I said welcome to the Patterson Planning Board's public hearing on the Patterson Crossing project. I would like to take a moment for everyone to acknowledge the location of the emergency exits if for some reason the doors that you came in through are blocked by some emergency please use one of the other clearly marked exists to leave the building. Tonight's meeting if you would like to speak please sign in at the front door. You will need to sign in in order to be heard this evening. When called and you will be called in the order in which you were signed in at, you will come up to the podium and be given five minutes to make your presentation. Board Member DiSalvo who is sitting two spaces to my left is the time keeper and will when approaching the five minute mark will hold up a yellow pad which will be your visual indicator that tells you that you have about fifteen seconds left and please wrap up. We would rather

not have to cut someone off but in fairness to the rest of the speakers it is only respectful enough to hold within the five minutes. Please also at this time take a moment to either turn off your cell phones and pagers or put them on the vibrate mode so that we don't have any distractions. We will make a decision this evening about several requests last night for a thirty day extension for the time period for written comments. We need to speak with our legal counsel prior to making that decision based on some information that we have since last night in terms of the time frames for public notification. So, we will have that decision but we do not have that decision at this point in time. Without any further ado I would like to turn over the meeting to Dave Pierro and have him call the first person to speak and he will also let the second person know that they are on deck so that you can be prepared to speak.

Board Member Pierro stated for the audience's edification my name is David Pierro, to my left is Shawn Rogan, additionally is Mike Montesano and Maria DiSalvo we are Planning Board Members and Melissa Brichta is our recording secretary.

**Dorothy Hayden** stated I would like the record to show that I am very much in favor of this Patterson Crossing because number one we do need tax relief. I am a resident for more than sixty years of this Town and I have seen a lot of changes and that is quote "progress" end quote so I was told and this is another progress that will have to go on with the increase of population around. We do have to grow. As far as traffic goes I live right at the corner of South Street here and believe me what I can consider that would be in those two proposed buildings, businesses, the larger ones would not generate more than one train does in front of my house every hour on the hour. With the increase in the trains you are going to have more population. That property will be eventually sold for more housing developments meaning more school taxes and as a senior citizen I can't see anymore increase. We do need a tax base and I am in favor of it.

Board Member Pierro thanked Ms. Hayden.

**Bridget Kayser** stated my name is Bridget Kayser I have been a Putnam County resident for over fifteen years, over ten years in the lovely Town of Patterson and over the ten years I have seen a lot of changes as well. I have seen my taxes double plus some. I have also seen a lot of property come off the tax roll and go for conservation so Patterson does have some conservation and properties that are available for people to go and do nature walks and take care of those types of things. My reasoning's for supporting the Patterson Crossing are totally selfish. I currently drive to Costco in Connecticut. I come from my home off of 164, I get on exit 18, I then drive from exit 18 which with the price of gas even though it is coming down and my time to go to Danbury is a lot. I would be very happy to just be able to stop at exit 18, not pollute the air anymore, use less gas, oh and save myself some time and effort. I see a lot of beautiful things coming in Patterson. I am standing in one of the most recent additions that is nothing but a plus for us. I have enjoyed the Pilates here, I have taken advantage of it and I think this would be another wonderful advantage for the Town of Patterson. That is all that I wanted to say. Oh, shop Putnam.

Some applause.

**Marsha Thompson** stated good evening, my name is Marsha Thompson. I am President of the Patterson Chamber of Commerce and I am a Putnam County resident since 1980. I am delivering to you a letter written by Tony Lomeli as a member of our Board of Directors of the Patterson Chamber of Commerce. Last night, he delivered to the Town Planner, Richard Williams petitions in support of the Patterson Crossing Retail Center signed by approximately 500 additional people. Mr. Lomeli requested that this petition in support of the Patterson Crossing Retail Center be submitted to your Board for the records. As you may recall at the scoping session for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement Mr. Lomeli submitted

to your Board another petition in support of the Patterson Crossing Retail Center signed by approximately 1,000 people. The second petition in support submitted last night therefore brings the total number of signatures to approximately 1,500. Our website is being hit all day long with people signing the petition in favor of but we have not had time today to pull them all off to bring them tonight. We respectfully ask that you, your Board consider this very substantial support for the Patterson Crossing Retail Center in evaluating such application and along with the comments made at this public hearing regarding project need and benefit.

Some applause.

**Marsha Thompson** stated I just have a short personal comment as the President, the Chamber recognizes and supports all commerce in our time. That is what we are about. We are here to encourage it. On a personal level I came to this community in 1980. I own homes in this Town. I have raised two children in the Brewster School District, and my children can't afford to buy houses in this Town. The tax base is extremely high and the per month tax rate that they would have to put away is just impossible. The shopping center will by no means be the major reduction of taxes but it has a very positive probability of helping us all stabilize the ever increasing rate of tax. No one including myself likes to see our area's beautiful environment eroded beyond repair but something is going to be built on our commercially zoned land in this Town and all of us should allow our officials and oversee our officials in bringing any project like the Patterson Crossing to meet all codes and proposals instead of fighting over them. We need shopping in our community and we need jobs. I urge you to approve this shopping center, thank you.

Some applause.

**Dan Kutcha** stated good evening my name is Dan Kutcha, 63 Sunset Drive in Patterson. I respectfully request that you guys honor the thirty day extension for written comments. I intend to submit written comments in addition to the oral remarks I make tonight. I have some specific comments on the DEIS, figure 4.8-2. Appendix K-9 and Appendix K-10 contain a very serious flaw in the existing volumes between Fair Street and Ludingtonville Road. There are a 147 eastbound and 154 westbound vehicles that are unaccounted for in the p.m. peak hour flows. The westbound Route 311 flow has 497 vehicles per hour leaving Ludingtonville Road intersection and 651 vehicles per hour entering the Fair Street intersection. There is nothing between Ludingtonville Road and Fair Street on Route 311 to account for the missing cars. This is a serious flaw propagating throughout the analysis will have generated large errors in the traffic study. This discrepancy must be corrected and the traffic study should be redone. On page 4.8-10, the DEIS needs to document that the traffic at peak p.m. hours studied for Fair Street and Route 311 are actually higher than the traffic during the peak p.m. school hours. If not then there shall be an evaluation of the project's impact during peak school hours, the same applies for the intersection of Route 311 and Terry Hill Road. Appendix M, Table M2, the trip generation rates for the Chestnut Petroleum Project on Route 311 almost opposite the Patterson Crossing project entrance of 0.21 ITE Code 495 and 2.811 for ITE Code 418 are major underestimations of the trips generated right opposite the site and the DEIS needs to correct these figures and rerun the analysis or explain that somehow the analysis was done correctly. Specifically Code 814 is not appropriate as it applies to a specialty retail center this analysis should have used Code 852 for a convenience market accordingly the trip generations rates for Code 945 should have been 6.69 for fueling positions or 48 trips per thousand square foot. For Code 852 they should have used 17 trips per thousand square foot. Additionally the Chestnut Petroleum has a special diesel pumper and intends to function as a truck stop during certain hours of the day. The traffic analysis did not include this truck traffic and needs to be reevaluated. On Page 3-5, Table 3-4 the state sales tax revenues need to be adjusted downward to properly account for the change in sales tax on clothing that went into effect on April of 2006.

An estimate of the changes are as follows; the clothing store is going to be 20,000 square feet, this translates to roughly .44 million in sales tax that will not be collected by the State. The proposed sporting goods store of 22,000 square feet if it is similar to a Sports Authority will generate 53% of its revenue from apparel and tax exempt shoes. This is another .62 million of loss sales tax revenue. Additionally, the Costco did not include tax exempt clothing in the analysis. I estimate as much as one million dollars in sales tax revenue will not be collected, the DEIS needs to properly account for this. On page 311, Table 3.6 indicates that the project may potentially create 516 jobs the use of the word create here maybe technically inaccurate, consumes is the most accurate term to use in the DEIS. At this moment many of the stores in the surrounding region have help wanted signs. On September 10<sup>th</sup>, Marshall's, Kohl's, Dunkin Donuts in the Highlands, Dunkin Donuts in Patterson, A&P in Patterson and Brewster Plastics and Patterson Auto Body all had help wanted signs displayed prominently outside their stores. There are not enough workers in this region to fill the types of jobs that Patterson Crossing creates. As a result the project will likely draw employees away from existing businesses thus consuming jobs not creating them. In the August 23<sup>rd</sup> issue of the Pennysaver, there were 23 pages of help wanted ads roughly 690 position available. There simply are not enough workers in the immediate surrounding area to support this project. The DEIS needs to take this into account and properly address this issue. I have run out of time. I will submit written comments. Thank you very much.

(The timer bell sounded)

Applause.

**Brian Schwarzl** stated hello, my name is Brian Schwarzl. I bought my house here in Lake Carmel about eight years ago and now I have a family. I have a little one and a half year old. My wife does not work and I have seen my property taxes go up almost double and my little guy needs diapers and food and we need to buy in bulk because we need to save money and we seem to always be driving to Danbury to do it. I mean I don't know where else to shop around here. I mean in order to buy in bulk we go to Costco, we go to Wal-Mart, we go to Target. I don't know any one of those stores that are in Putnam County. As far as I know you can't even buy a nice T.V. in Putnam County or a computer or maybe even a decent suit but we need the shopping. It was great when the Home Depot center came over here and we got that to thank but we need more. My house is only about two blocks away from the development so you know it will be great to have nearby. I don't see any negative affects really except a little bit more traffic. I think we could use a stop light off the exit, off of exit 18 because I work in Westchester and rush hour coming down it is hard to make a left turn off that exit without a light. That is pretty much all I had to say but I am in support of the project. Thank you.

Some applause.

**Tom Maxson** stated good evening everyone my name is Tom Maxson. I am an 18 year resident of the Town of Kent in Putnam County and a student of local history. I would like to make some comments on the DEIS specifically the historic and cultural impact sections of the document. The DEIS states quote "no historic resources are located on the project site therefore the proposed project would not result in any significant impact to historic resources" but curiously absent from the reference material listed in Appendix N of the DEIS is the most comprehensive historic work covering this history of this error, William S. Pelletreau, History of Putnam County, New York originally published in 1886. Per Pelletreau's description as found on pages 704 through 706 and this is copy of Pelletreau (holding up the book) which is available in all of the local libraries. David Kent who was for many years one of the most prominent citizen of Putnam County was born the son of Eliho Kent who was born in 1749. David Kent was born in the Town

of Kent, September 3, 1792 on the farm now owned by Samuel Teri and where his father then lived. Per Appendix N of the DEIS the 1854, 1867 and 1876 maps of the Town of Kent and the Town of Patterson during that time of period show that the Samuel Teri farm was located south of what is now Route 311 along the very western border of the Town of Patterson. As Pelletreau states above the Kent's lived on the property prior to the Teri family. Eliho Kent was a Member of the Patterson Baptist Church then known of the First Baptist Church of Fredericks Town. Pelletreau goes on to state quote " Judge Daniel Kent was born October 18, 1782 and died June 1, 1860. He was one of the judges of the court of common pleas and a very influential citizen". As shown in Appendix N of the DEIS the R.F. O'Connor Map of 1804 shows both the Judge Daniel Kent and his son, Samuel Kent Farms located within the project development area. This is confirmed by the Federal Census Data of the 1800's for the Town of Patterson. The 1860 census shows that the real estate value of Judge Daniel Kent's farm was 15,000 dollars. This amount given the time period and other relative property values indicates a very large farm probably extending six hundred acres or more. Samuel Kent's farm is listed with a value of 8,000 dollars indicating it was at least 350 acres or more. Now, Pelletreau goes on to state that following the death of Samuel Kent in 1875 his son Charles took over the family farm and it is found now in the 1900 Federal Census for the Town of Patterson. The historic maps also show H.S. Kent on what is now Route 311 near Terry Hill Road in Kent. This was Harry S. Kent the grandson of Eliho Kent. He was married to Phoebe Washburn whose family owned the farm directly across from the development area. Not surprisingly, the Town of Kent was named after the Kent family in 1817. This extraordinary act underscores the significance of the family in the social religious and political development of the town. The Kent's are all buried in the Patterson Baptist Church Cemetery. Appendix N of the DEIS also includes a current site map of the area, just down Route 311 a short distance to the west of the proposed development the location is denoted as Kent Corners. This was also named after the Kent family. Appendix N also states that quote " the studies areas seen as unlikely to contain structural remains and cultural features related to the early European American era occupation of the area" unquote. Of course, it is impossible to properly evaluate the presence of structures and foundations when the developer has moved literally thousands of rocks and boulders across the property. The DEIS is clearly incorrect when it dismisses the potential cultural and historical significance of this property.

Board Member DiSalvo stated thank you.

**Tom Maxson** stated thank you.

Board Member Pierro stated you can submit your comments Sir.

**Judy Terlizzi** stated good evening this is with a great deal of sadness that I stand here tonight. Sadness because we have neighbors and friends at odds, sadness because our lake community is really threatened and sadness because things don't have to be this way. Other people more dedicated and much more educated and intelligent have reviewed the DEIS and found many flaws. Last night we heard concerns from very well educated people about water quantity, noise, blasting, and air quality, bad design that doesn't fit in with the landscape and surrounding community. My comments tonight will focus on the parts of the DEIS that I have studied. On Page 4, or Item 4-6.7 I believe I may have that wrong, the Applicant predicates 19% of stormwater will runoff from these impervious surfaces and that will drain into Lake Carmel. This water will contain parking lot debris including gasoline, anti-freeze, oils and other garbage. Last night, we heard about rat poisons being used on this site. Will this get into the water supply either through drainage or through just seeping into the ground water. How much is 19% if we get a one hundred year storm, how much is 19% if we get a three hundred year storm. I think these are questions that need to be explored and I would like to add that a 100% of all stormwater's will be drained from the impervious

surfaces and will not be available to recharge our wells, the aquifer and perhaps even the lake springs. This issue does not seem to be addressed. On 4-6.6, will the Applicant install the most recent stormwater recommendations I believe it is MS-4 that will be mandated by the State or that will cost be passed along to the taxpayer at a future time. On 4-7.3, Mr. Mainero addressed wildlife last evening, how does the Applicant even suggest wildlife will move across I-84, will they levitate, will he build a bridge for them. More than likely wildlife will be forced from this site and end up as road kill on Route 311 and Fair Street or try to move into our heavily populated areas competing with existing wildlife. We have lots of wildlife already. The only way to ensure, on 4-7.4, the only way to ensure that open space stays open space is through a conservation easement, deed restrictions and plat notes do not cut it. Mr. Hevesi, the State Comptroller, recommends that counties rely on no more than 25% sales tax revenue for budget, P.C.'s latest budget relies on almost 40%. This is not a good fiscal plan. It should be noted on Page 3-5, the Applicant says it should be noted that the projected property and sales tax revenues are estimates the sum of the actual use is in future tenants at the center and are not known at this time. The actual tax revenue generated by the proposed development may vary from the figures presented above based on the manner in which the property is actually developed and assessed. So, that could go either way. People are counting on lots of tax relief and they may get much less than they are anticipating. There is no, Page 3-9, there is no data to show that local stores will benefit from this project. Now, I would just like to conclude my remarks about the children, children are our future, all generations and all societies like to have hope that their children will have a better life than they have. They are not mentioned anywhere in this document. Lake Carmel is a Park District that is not mentioned anywhere in this document. It is a community that has existed since 1930, it is made up of 2,500 homes with approximately 8,000 residents. They will be right next to this project. Not mentioned anywhere is that our children are pedestrians. They use our roads for walking and bicycle riding to get to the beaches or to visit local stores and to visit friends. They cross 311, they cross Terry Hill, they walk on the East Lakeshore Drive and West Lakeshore Drive and Brewster Road and Towners Road and every road in this community. That is the benefit of living in a Park District or at least one of them. We walk.

(The timer bell sounded)

Board Member DiSalvo stated thank you.

Applause.

**Gail Brajevich** stated hello my name is Gail Brajevich, I came to Putnam County in 1968. I have worked for a big retailer. They will make you promises. They don't hire full-time, they don't pay top salary. If you go to Highlands in Brewster there you can see the signs help wanted. You want a safety issue; I have a girlfriend in the back in a wheelchair right now that was hit by a tractor-trailer in Route 6 in Carmel. He didn't see her. What is going to happen to our children. I raised my child here. I live in the Park District property. They are going to make promises to us they can't keep. I am here speaking to you from my heart. I have nothing written down on paper. I feel very passionate that this project should not go forward. If this gentleman in the back wants to buy big bulk and he is tired of going to Costco's, tell him to go to Shop Rite, check the ads they are cheaper. Another thing, if this project goes through I might as well go back to Westchester, lower Westchester because that is what our County is going to look like. Please think very seriously about what is going to happen. It will affect us and all our residents for the rest of our lives. I do thank you for your time.

Applause.

**Susan Russo** stated hi, looking in section 4-15 numbers 1 and 2,

Board Member Rogan interjected could you just state your name for the record please and your address.

**Susan Russo** stated Susan Russo, sorry. The background quality air studies were done that are cited in there in 1983. If I am correct it is year 2006. They really need to be re-done. We can't be using studies from twenty years ago. I am also requesting a quantitative impact analysis related to construction activities as it was not performed based on the studies done in 1983. It needs to be done one; because of the children who are in some cases are living seventy-five feet from the actual site. Everything in the DEIS is well if the people who are doing the trucks, if they do this, if they do that. We need some guarantees to keep the dust levels down, to keep all the construction debris from flying all over the place that all these things are in place. I don't want any if's, should have's, would of's, could of's because my child lives two miles away from the site and has asthma. When I am finding that, I am having to give her more and more Albuterol every day and if it is going to be related to the construction site you know things like that I am going to start blaming each one of you because we need you to make sure that our children are safe. Within the DEIS they had said that there was no sensitive, what was it, sensitive areas. They totally omitted Clover Lake, which is a senior residence, and it is a senior residence that most of these people are one-step away from the nursing home a mile and a half up the road so we need to keep that into consideration too. I clocked it today it was a little bit more than a half of mile going by the streets but if you take a straight line it is within that half of mile so that needs to be included in the DEIS itself. I am also asking for during construction the law is that the diesel trucks cannot idle for more than five minutes at a time that is during construction, after construction especially during the midnight delivery times that can be expected. Who is going to monitor this. It is a law but there is nobody who does monitor this. We need to have this within the DEIS to make sure that this is going to happen. That is the diesel trucks, the idling of them and the coming in and out. That is one of the major causes, it is a direct correlation on asthma and also lung cancer. I mean we have already failed with the American Heart and Lung Association with our air quality this is very, very important and who is going to be responsible for this on an on going basis. I am also requesting besides the quantitative impact analysis and independent project manager who will be there to oversee not somebody from the Town, not somebody from the State an independent person to come in and make sure, because it is important. The other thing that I really felt strongly about was what Judy had just said about there is no mention within the whole DEIS about Lake Carmel being a Park District, besides the foot traffic for the children going across 311 and 52 to get to the lake. There is also a lot of foot traffic going across 311 to the Pizzeria and to the beaches. There is also a lot of foot traffic that people don't realize from going from the Lake Carmel Deli and across the street there is a bar there, there is also a Laundromat and there is and people go very fast so even if you are talking about lights that is not somewhere where there will be a light in these areas. Then maybe we need to have crosswalks, which is going to also back up the traffic further. I live off of Towners Road and I can tell you now from the increase in traffic from Route 6 when they were doing the different bridges everybody is using that as a cross way to go from over to the Shop Rite on 52 and all the way over to Fair Street. That has a lot of foot traffic. I don't even let my kids go across the street to get the mail anymore. I just wanted to express my great concern about the foot traffic that was not in the DEIS, thank you.

Applause.

**Lisette Kubie** stated my name is Lisette Depew-Kubie I live in Putnam Lake, Town of Patterson since 1982 and in the County my entire life. I did not prepare any written statements. I am simply going to speak briefly from my heart. I see no need for this development. I spent a limited amount of time reading Section 3 of the DEIS which addressed the benefits, these wonderful benefits that having this center will bring us

supposedly. I don't buy it. It predicated on the fact that I can't get a cup of coffee, a potted plant, sheets, a screwdriver or things within five miles in any given direction currently. What I see this shopping center as representing is just another cookie cutter sprawling shopping mall that will present us the same retail opportunities and as any other given one, that is polluting this nation from coast to coast. It is a national cancer.

Applause.

**Lisette Kubie** stated they all have an Applebee's, they all have Chili's, wherever there is a Costco there is going to be Lowe's because they are going to compete against each other, excuse me wherever there is a Home Depot there is going to be Lowe's. Where there is a Costco there is going to be a BJ's. It is just the homogenization of America. I am concerned about local business people. There is plenty of local garden centers; there is plenty of local places to get anything you need. I am sorry I don't need to be able to get into my SUV after moving up here from Westchester because I can't afford the taxes and we know how developed Westchester is. Everyone moves up here because they want country because they can't afford the taxes anymore and I will tell you why the taxes are so bloody high because of the development. They have sold their aesthetic soul,

Applause,

**Lisette Kubie** stated they have sprawl from here to there. Their taxes are up the ying, yang. We are simply falling; we are simply walking down the same shoot to the slaughterhouse as every other community in this country seems to be doing. I don't understand it. I plead with you say no, save trees, save some semblance of a hillside. Like the woman said before there is help wanted signs. Go to any, any shopping mall in this area, help wanted. They can't get people. These are not quality high paying jobs. They are not offering health benefits, they are not offering living wages. The high school kids they don't want to work at these places. It is not going to save us tax wise. It is certainly selling us down the river aesthetically. Traffic is going to be a nightmare. I want to know what happens with water quality when New York City starts suing the Town because we dumped all this crap in the watershed. Who's responsible then, I don't think Camarda is going to come up and pay our legal fees. I just think that we don't need it. It is ridiculous. You can drive any direction in five minutes and get anything this new mall is going to offer us, thank you for your time. I would also like to formally request that the Board consider a thirty-day extension on written comments please, thank you.

Applause.

**Arielle Kubie** stated hi my name is Arielle Kubie. I have been a life long resident of Putnam Lake, which is a community in the Town of Patterson. My mother touched on it briefly but I would just like to say my father and I would like to raise the issue of our communities' liability in the case of the contamination of the New York City Watershed after this area is developed. Beyond our own concerns for the protection of our land, our economy, our property values and our future residents of our community. We are stewards for the water supply for the City of New York and even if there isn't legal grounds to prevent this development from happening based on our own emotional reasons for not wanting this place here for our love of our local businesses, our love of taking a walk through woods in our back yards, our love of being able to feel safe with our children, our neighbor's children, going out and not worrying about high traffic and having a safe community for our children then I would like to raise that legal issue of what if it does happen that our community is pressed with charges by New York City if it begins to rapidly decrease the quality of water for the City of New York. That is all thank you.

Applause.

Board Member Pierro called Ray Mainero.

Board Member Rogan stated they spoke last night they can't speak again.

Board Member Pierro stated I thought we said we were going to,

Board Member Rogan stated no.

Board Member Pierro stated Rich is taking them in back it is too late.

Board Member Pierro stated Ann Fanizzi you are deck.

**Ray Mainero** stated hi I am Ray Mainero,

Board Member Rogan asked Ray can you hold on for one second please.

**Mr. Mainero** replied sure.

**Mr. Mainero** stated I have been up here since 1963; I came up here mainly to get away from stores that is why most of us moved up here.

Applause.

There was discussion between Board Members going on at the same time unable to transcribe the Board and Mr. Mainero at the same time.

**Mr. Mainero** stated moved up here for the country, people are saying they moved up here, oh, we need shopping, they came up here, oh, they need stores. What was there first clue when they moved up here. Didn't they see that there were no stores. I will wait until the gentleman is through, can you stop my time.

Board Member Rogan replied yeah, sure but I apologize because,

**Mr. Mainero** stated okay I will stop.

Board Member Rogan stated I appreciate that Ray. The concern was just that the intent was for everyone to have a chance to speak and the intent was not for people to speak twice because that concern was raised so I apologize. The only thing that I would like to ask is that, are your concerns that you are bringing up tonight what you did not get a chance to finish last night.

**Mr. Mainero** stated different, completely different. In fact, these are extemporaneous, they are not even anything written down.

Board Member Rogan asked Anthony (referring to the Special Counsel, Anthony Mangone),

Anthony Mangone stated I mean the purpose that we had addressed of having two nights of the public hearing was to only allow each person to go once and that is why we allowed the five-minute period and in addition we extended the written comment period once already.

Board Member Rogan stated correct which is why I don't think we are appropriate, I honestly, my feeling is Ray although I would love to hear what you have to say you do have the ability to submit written comments and in fairness to everyone who spoke last night,

**Mr. Mainero** stated I understand.

Rich Williams stated Shawn that was not my understanding. We had been telling people that they could speak twice if we thought everyone else,

**Mr. Mainero** stated I will go at the end.

Rich Williams stated if we do have some but if we have to stop we will stop but the people that we have already told.

Board Member Rogan stated I don't believe that we made that clear to people that spoke last night.

Board Member Rogan asked what does the rest of the Board feel that was not my understanding not that I am opposed to it but I think in fairness,

**Mr. Mainero** stated I agree with you I thought,

Board Member Rogan stated the topic was raised, I am sorry I am not using the microphone, the topic was raised many, many times last night will we have an opportunity if we go past our five minutes and I think in fairness to those people my feeling is that it is not appropriate but I will leave it to the rest of the Board. I am only one person.

**Mr. Mainero** stated I am okay with that.

Vice Chairman Montesano stated why don't you make a motion.

Board Member DiSalvo stated I agree with Shawn.

Board Member Rogan asked make what motion.

Board Member Pierro stated you have an opinion make it a motion if not we ought to let these people speak that is my opinion.

Board Member Rogan stated I will make a motion in this regard that we hold that each person be given a five minute time frame and then they can submit their written comments after that if they don't have enough time, so moved.

Board Member DiSalvo seconded the motion.

The Secretary asked you don't want a roll call.

Vice Chairman Montesano stated we don't need a roll call.

Board Member Rogan stated so go ahead.

Vice Chairman Montesano	-	yes I go along with it.
Board Member DiSalvo	-	yes
Board Member Pierro	-	no

**Mr. Mainero** asked okay you want to wait for everyone else, I can speak after everyone or speak now.

Board Member Rogan stated ladies and gentlemen the motion was just made to allow each person to speak for five minutes. In fairness the intent of the meeting was to allow people to speak for five minutes not to then allow the public hearing to continue so that people can speak. If there is no one additionally to speak for fifteen or twenty minutes that is not the intent of this public hearing.

**Mr. Mainero** stated but that is not,

Board Member Rogan stated the intent is to allow five minutes, excuse me Mr. Mainero, to allow five minutes to address the Board. At such time if you are not done after that five minutes that is the reason that we allow public comments to be submitted in writing and it is my opinion and the motion that was made was that five minutes is what each person has. I think if you haven't gotten through your comments then you need to submit them in writing. In fairness to people that spoke last night who didn't realize that if they came tonight and there was time they could speak again,

**Mr. Mainero** stated Mr. Rogan, I think what you said last night was that after we got through we could wait at the end of the line, sign up,

Board Member Rogan stated I said no such thing.

**Mr. Mainero** stated wait let me just I didn't interrupt you, please, you said that we could wait at the end of the line and after everybody gets through speaking if we had additional comments to make we could make them at that time.

Board Member Rogan stated I would have to go back to the record,

**Mr. Mainero** stated that is what was said last night.

Board Member Rogan stated but I definitely did not say that last night and if I said it, **Mr. Mainero** asked are there any notes in the meeting because I think that was what I interpreted, that is the way I interpreted and that is why I came here tonight and that is why many other people came here tonight.

Board Member Rogan stated no I am sorry I did not say that last night.

**Mr. Mainero** stated I understand English. Oh, by the way just one thing quickly, I submitted some notes. I do all this dictation by voice and there was some voice errors, voice-o's, so I am going to resubmit it, would you just please accept that resubmission.

Board Member Rogan stated absolutely sure.

**Mr. Mainero** stated thank you.

**Mr. Mainero** stated one dollar per hundred that is all you get back, taxes.

Board Member Rogan stated Ann, given the motion that we just had I am sorry you spoke last night. Thank you very much Ann.

Board Member Pierro stated Katherine O'Connor you are on deck.

**Katherine O'Connor** stated good evening ladies and gentlemen, my address is 4905 Applewood Circle, am I going too fast,

Board Member Pierro asked you didn't play baseball.

**Katherine O'Connor** replied no.

Board Member Pierro stated because on deck means you are next after him but that is okay.

**Katherine O'Connor** replied oh, you got me confused. Can I start again.

Board Member Pierro replied you can and we won't penalize your time.

Board Member DiSalvo stated state your name.

**Katherine O'Connor** stated having served for ten years in your position I wanted to

Vice Chairman Montesano asked your name please

**Katherine O'Connor** stated oh, Katherine O'Connor he announced it. Having served for ten years in your position that is on a Planning Board I want to compliment this Board and this audience. This public hearing has been well run. We have heard no horrors but mostly facts and figures based upon the DEIS and that is as it should be but I think I have to change it a little bit. You could have been a little nicer to Ray.

Applause.

**Katherine O'Connor** stated I would like to speak about the traffic intersections. The developer has indicated what intersections must be changed for the benefit of the project but has he approached the DOT that is the State Department of Transportation. When the DOT was contacted, they had received no plans from him. Who is going to pay for the changes. The Federal Government or the Federal DOT has indicated that the Highway Trust Fund is very near bankruptcy and has established a new commission, you are going to love this one, Safe LU, the initials are s a f e t e, capital LU, standing for safe, accountable, flexible, efficient, transportation, equity, act. Do you like that. This is a new commission that will determine which state receives what monies and some of our projects will have to be state funded and state done. The monies will be decreased going to highway, construction that is going on now under old bills. Yes, they do function, I think (did not understand her word) a page, no, yes they do function very well if, no I did, well it was about septic systems. I got it. Septic systems do function very well as two people mentioned last night

however, most of us who live up here have lived with septic fields and tanks and we know the tanks function quite well as long as they are cared for but if they are improperly cared for and you are going to have a project that is going to be run by management of some sort. If they are not well cared for they can be some terrible mess. It is the leaching fields that are the important part of this project. Soils is an efficient filter for effluent that is true but eventually the water will make its way to the wetlands, stream and the lake. It has no place else to go. The fields are 8.7 acres. I think you should think hard and long about this project. It may put our area on the map but not necessarily in a positive way. This project is too big for us and I hope you think well about it, thank you.

Applause.

**Karl Rohde** stated good evening, my name is Karl Rohde, Member of the Kent Town Board but I am representing myself tonight. Last night as well as tonight, there have been many good points made on both sides of the issue. I know that each of you will weigh the information and arrive at the correct conclusion. I do not envy you that task nor do I have the audacity to assume that I know what the correct decision is. What I am asking you is that when you deliberate you are not influenced by the hyperbole that has been in evidence from my town. The fact that our County Executive's son works for Camarda should have nothing to do with your decision. There is no proof that his job is contingent upon success of Patterson Crossing. Others in Kent have claimed that Senator Leibell's view are suspect because you named a road in your Town after him, even though he has not voiced his opinion on the project. The naming of a road is something that we should have done to thank him for helping us get our beautiful Town Center as you did for yours. Others mentioned the tragic accident that happened recently at what will be the main exit for Patterson Crossing while I have nothing but sympathy for the family it was not noted that the driver was 91 years old and perhaps that had more to do with the accident than the traffic patterns. Further, he failed to stop when coming to the intersection of the exit. Both points conveniently omitted in yesterday's testimony. Another person at a Town Board meeting made a nebulous accusation that several Patterson Crossing type projects were being investigated for corruption according to the unidentified or unsubstantiated newspaper articles. Articles that the speaker thought may have been in the papers but did not produce. No one else could recall such articles or investigations. I am asking you to dismiss such feckless attacks and deal with the facts as they are presented to you. Please do not think that a great majority of people from Kent are like that. The majority are honest and truthful people who are rightfully concerned with their well-being and the well-being of the Town. Do not let those who use inflammatory and baseless statements influence you against the true and honest people of Kent. Make a decision based on the facts there is nothing more we can ask of you. Last thing that I would like to mention was that I was heartened when a member of your Board asked the rude and insolent here last night to have respect for the views of others, a lesson that we might all learn from, thank you.

Applause.

**Pat Madigan** stated good evening I am Pat Madigan I am on the Kent Town Board and again I speak as a citizen but truly also as a representative of the people that elected us. I brought with me tonight some of the files that I have on Patterson Crossing. I want you to see the volume.

Board Member Rogan asked Pat can you turn the microphone down so people can hear you.

**Pat Madigan** stated basically as I have said I brought with me tonight some of the files I have on Patterson Crossing, among the documents and correspondence from concerned residents that we as Town Board Members have received so please take into consideration that even though those numbers may not be very

large here tonight those who are not here still have a voice. Some of these documents are letters and correspondence we have received from many of our residents. There are many issues facing our community not the least of which are the quality of life concerns already addressed. Rather than reiterate the previous statements I would like to clarify a misconception that I have heard from people both pro and con suggesting that Kent would be more accepting of this project if the taxes and resources were coming to Kent residents rather than going to Patterson and Putnam County. This is not the case, although as residents we are concerned about the additional expense of being first responders because of our proximity to the project and because the quality of life issues that are our deep concern. The fact of financial reward whatever that may be and as small or great depending on who you listen to, depending on what the taxes are going to do for our school system or for what they have done for the Town of Patterson studies have been done that Highland Falls for instance in Brewster they had a I believe a 7% school tax increase so I don't know where the additional money was supposed to come to their school district in deed from the Highland Falls project if just this past year again they had to raise their school taxes. You have an opportunity has Board Members I don't envy your position, you have a right and an obligation to hear all sides and on the Kent Town Board we have to do that also. One of the concerns that people have expressed here and previously when you first held your public hearing was the proximity to shopping. I know people want to shop, I understand that but these stores are not gaining additional customers instead of having the customers drive to Connecticut they will spend their money here. It doesn't behoove the store to just spread their money out further they are not gaining additional customers. I think also there is an opportunity for jobs, for possibility of people to gather as they do in a shopping mall. This is minimal in comparison to the impact that it is going to have on our Town. I realize that there are other opposition and I realize that there are things and concerns that people have and people want shopping as they mentioned in previous statements however you must take into consideration why do this at Kent's expense. It is at our expense that the proximity to additional shopping is going to come. We bear the burden. People from Patterson have said to me they don't understand what the big issue is because many people in Patterson are certainly for this. It is not being built in the Patterson community ladies and gentlemen, it is being built in the Kent community. That is what you have to take into consideration, thank you.

Applause.

**Steven DeLaurentis** stated good evening, my name is Steve DeLaurentis, Highview Drive in Carmel. I would like to begin by just expressing my thanks to each and every one of you. I know how hard you work and for all the time that you give up, your free time to help better our community. I do appreciate it. I am a developer and I have been developing properties for twenty-three years so I understand where you are and what you are going through right now. I can take his Environmental Impact Statement and I can go through it and probably find problems and help you out and write things down but you have a lot of consultants and people who are much, much more knowledgeable than I am so I am sure you are getting the right information. I think what you have to come to grips with and you are Planners is whether or not this is a good plan. When I am working and I am working on a plan that I know it is good it is exciting, it is very exciting but this isn't exciting. There is no equity in this plan. Too many people are going to be hurt. I am fortunate my son is out of school, out of college, my wife has a good job, I have a good job and I can move if the impact is so burdensome than I can move but there are so many people who just can't move. They saved up half of their lives to buy a small house, they work hard and they can't go anywhere. They are stuck and the burden is going to be tough. It is just not a good plan. So, when you look at it I would like you to keep that in mind, keep in mind all the hard working people who are stuck here that they can't leave and have to deal with this. That is it, thank you again.

Applause.

**Liz Cafiero** stated my name is Liz Cafiero, I live at 98 Concord Road. My property is, both sides of my property are going to be abutting this mall. I express concern about the fact that for years there was a pond on that property. That pond can be viewed if you go online, a couple of years ago on Google, put in different addresses you can see that there was a pond on that property. Apparently, that watercourse has been diverted somehow. I understand that it is no longer there. I was in the property looking for my cat, it was stuck in a tree so I did not see that pond and I happened to notice which I don't see on your DEIS that there is a vernal pond on the on ramp of Exit 18 on the highway right as you are about to get on 84 there is a pond there now. I don't see that on the plan and I am wondering where that that water goes under the highway towards Camp Herlich and into the Great Swamp I believe it is and how that is going to impact on the environment because it does not even seem to be on the plan or unless I missed it. I have notified the DEP about that. I haven't heard anything from them. Also, I am wondering is the State Department of Transportation realize the impact that pond is going to have on 84 and how did that pond, where did that pond come from, aside from all my other concerns about the environment and the quality of life and my property value and my neighbor's property value and their quality of life being compromised by this mall. Thank you.

Applause.

**Veronica Popovics** stated hi good evening, my name is Veronica Popovics. I am a property owner, resident, and a Town of Patterson taxpayer that resides at 50 Concord Road. My property connects with the upper-gated emergency egress proposed for the Patterson Crossing. I am presenting to you three very significant environmentally related afflictions that the pre-development stage of the Patterson Crossing have already caused me personally and which are not particularly addressed in the DEIS from my exposure to it. I purchased my home in April of 2004 with a new well completed on the property one year prior. No water problems occurred at my home until April 2005, at 11:30 in the morning on Friday, April 29, 2005 I was roused by the rumbling and screeching of a large machinery trailer labeled AJR Site Development which was trying to round the corner of Echo Road on to Concord Road over the corner of my neighbor Steve Hill's front lawn to pass in front of my home. The trailer was carrying a labeled 12-ton backhoe through to the adjoining property entrance gate. As I or any of my neighbors can report from attending previous Patterson Crossing meetings developer, Paul Camarda, had reassured that this area would not be used for heavy trucks and machinery. This was not the first machinery observed at this site but most certainly the largest and the heaviest. At 7:30 a.m. on Monday May 2, 2005 I was awakened by loud repetitive banging and grinding of machinery while listening to the vibration of my windows. I went to the young engineer on site who explained to me that he was overseeing a boring company who is drilling test holes with monitors, in very close proximity to my home. The relentless banging on the adjacent property continued daily starting at 7:30 a.m. through out the course of the entire week. This container holds the content (holding up a water filter container) of the sediment found in my in-door home well water filter cylinder at the end of the week of the hole boring. Prior to this my filter requires changing once every three months, a new well built in 2003. I question who and what monitors specifically would be in place for my well during the more traumatic dynamite blasting of the bedrock on this adjoining property proposed for the Patterson Crossing project. Secondly, these are photos that I had taken of the roadway into the same-gated area as a result of the heavy machinery truck traffic over an approximate two-month period, this roadway was directly in front of my house and underwent this crumbling and cracking. I have before and after photos. During heavy rains, water would pocket and further erode and destroy the road and wash the erosion down my driveway to pocket and pool at the base of the foundation of my home. I use these photos during the May 25, 2005 Patterson Tax Grievance meeting to show the newly existing conditions preventing my home from attaining full market value,

Board Member DiSalvo interjected one minute please we need to change the tape.

**Veronica Popovics** stated my front brick walkway was eroded and the foundation of my home was saturated causing repeated water seepage into the crawlspace beneath my home. The repair of the roadway ironically was not done by Patterson Highway Department but rather with the much appreciated help from the Kent Highway Department who re-paved the road in July, 2005. My first visit to the Patterson Highway Department to see someone named, Charlie Williams resulted only in a conversation with the receptionist, Margaux, and she gave me his calling card. I was put off for two weeks because of Mr. Williams's unavailability and was ultimately told to seek help at the Kent Highway Department because they perform snow removal for this strip of Concord Road. Just in the pre-development stage of Patterson Crossing I have been subjected to disruption of sleep schedule, and been denied my right to peace and quiet residing at my new home. I live in a pending threat of my home property and well from projected close proximity bedrock blasting with dynamite and I have to suffer the consequences from a crumbling roadway including time use and effort to pursue resolution to a problem that I did not create. In closing I sincerely urge each of you who sit on this Board to take into consideration what I have already experienced for the sake of retail development planning. I ask that each of you put yourself in the place of any community member who comes before you to express concern about how this development will impact upon their safety and quality of life, this mega development,

(The timer bell sounded)

Board Member DiSalvo stated thank you.

**Veronica Popovics** stated is too large scale for this concentrated community. Comments and pictures will be submitted.

Applause.

**Guido Garbarino** stated hi my name is Guido Garbarino and I live at 14 Fleetwood Road in Lake Carmel and I am here to comment in general on the proposal for Patterson Crossing. I grew up in Lake Carmel. I have lived there for 26 years and chosen to start my family here and I think people choose a community like Kent or a community like Patterson because of the character of the community. People make a tremendous investment in their property because they feel that they have a stake in the character of that community that will maintain their property values both in terms of monetary and aesthetic value. I look at this and make analogy between sort of the neighbors of the Town of Kent and the Town of Patterson where if I wanted to build a shed or a garage on my property I couldn't just put it wherever I want it. There are offsets, there are regulations that I would have to respect and I would have to respect my neighbor's rights in building such a structure and I feel like this proposal is infringing upon the Town of Kent as if my neighbor were to build an addition on his house that directly encroached on my property without the proper variances and I would like you to consider that kind of neighborly dynamic. When I was in High School there was a proposal for a dump in the Town of Patterson and the residents of the County opposed that almost universally and I would ask the residents of Patterson to extend the same kind of courtesy to the residents of Kent given this situation. In terms of the tax benefits that are suppose to result from this project I would like to just point out that the approximate 750,000 dollars per year that this would generate is less than 1% of the annual budget of the Carmel schools. It is a drop in the bucket and for such a small percentage of the budget I think it is too high of a price for us to see the character of neighborhood change. You look at the type of development that this is going to cause. We have quiet neighborhoods, we know

our neighbors, and we feel safe letting our kids play outside. You know thinking about major traffic coming off the Interstate, unknown people coming off the Interstate at all hours of the night to come to this place makes me feel a little less secure in the investment that I have made in living here.

Applause.

**Christina Fátum** stated hello my name is Christina Fátum. I have only lived in Lake Carmel for the last four years. My husband is a police officer for New York City and he works in the Bronx. We had a large choice of areas that we could have moved to. Long Island being one of them where my family had decided to move to. We chose not to move to Long Island because of the congestion, the traffic, the over commercialization of the properties that were there, the strip malls, every other light. If anybody knows what Sunrise Highway looks like it is a mess. I don't want to see 311 start to look like Sunrise Highway. I am also familiar with Queens Boulevard, which is northern Queens and big malls, small malls, stores of all kinds. When we decided to move to Putnam County it was a great commute for my husband to go to the Bronx, he takes 684, 84 and the conditions on the roads that he drives on could be hairy and the trucks that come down off of 84, down 311 to 52 where I live if there is ever an accident on 84 it is dead stop traffic. My son's buses have been delayed for long periods of time and the school is only three miles up the road so the traffic can be horrendous when there is a problem on 84. As far as widening the roads and putting in turn lanes and lights I really don't see how that would help with the amount of traffic flow that we are looking at. Safety to our school busses is a big issue for me. I know that a gentleman had been interviewed and spoke with from the school bus department saying that we replace our buses and our budget is so high for our cost for our buses not from the buses breaking down but from the buses getting hit and side swiped. I find it unbelievable that we would opt to add more traffic to this small community. We have when we were moving up here noticed that there was great shopping only one exit away. We had looked into Mahopac, we had looked into Carmel itself, all too congested for us. We liked that we could just travel down to Putnam Valley, which is still Putnam for shopping. You can go over to Southeast, which is still shopping. If I wanted to be at a store like this I would have moved to Long Island taking my 350 plus mortgage with me and my taxes with me. My taxes are almost the same and I don't mind. I wanted country, I wanted clean living, and I want to know that my septic systems are not in danger, my drinking water is not in danger. The lake that I have come to love and my children are learning to swim in is going to remain clean and we are not going to hear tons of honking trucks and stuff over the summer while they are swimming and looking at nature and be like ooh, what is that noise. We like that we are living in the country. This is the beginning of the country. We want to keep it country. As far as the septic's are concerned. The septic fields on this property and I don't know a lot about septic's but when you are building a septic and you put a shovel in the ground and you hit rock that is not going to absorb water. A lot of this property that we are looking at is rock and clay, which does not absorb water either. We have a rule let's see I have this written down somewhere, when you are placing a septic system on one's property the field must be one hundred feet up hill from a well or two hundred feet down hill. You also need space for the primary field and a hundred percent reserve. That means you need twice as much space available. Eight football fields now have to be sixteen football fields. I would like to know what the Department of Health says about this project and the size of the septic fields as I am looking at it here. Is there a contingency for this septic field, does this also fall into the twice the size plan that the Department of Health states is necessary. The Zoning laws were changed and that kind of threw me a little bit. I don't know much about politics up here because I have not been here very long but I am looking into it. I would be afraid that a project of this size with some zoning laws having been changed would just open up the doorway for other large projects that could come through. Another developer could come in and say well, you changed it once before why can't you change it now. It is not that I don't want to see a community grow. I would love to see a community grow. I would love to see my nine year old be able to have a job somewhere.

(The timer bell sounded)

Board Member DiSalvo stated thank you

Applause.

**Annmarie Baisley** stated my name is Annmarie Baisley, I live at 42 Lockwood Road. The septic system study that was addressed in the DEIS was done in 1996. At that time they claimed that a lot of the pollution into the lake was caused by septic tanks. The Lake Carmel Park District residents paid hundred of thousand of dollars in remediation and in further studies. It was found that a lot of the contamination was caused by road runoff. Just a few months ago, the County did a major project on Terry Hill Road because of all the runoff from Terry Hill, which happens to be a County Road. In 1980, there was an area in Lake Carmel that had contaminated wells, at that time there was a study done on the aquifers. It turned out that some of the aquifers went as far as the Patterson Dump, some were traced to their source being by Our Lady of Lake Church, some by Kessman Farm and I did not see anything in the DEIS where this study which was eventually submitted to the County was even looked at as far as the aquifers that are going to be affected by the amount of water that could be used by this project and based on anybody that studies aquifers, when this first came about I had to think back to third grade where you saw all the different rock levels I said oh, that is what they are talking about and it is amazing how many miles, my neighbor across the road from me, their water comes from Patterson. My water just comes from locally. So, if a study is done on people's water sources just within a couple of hundred feet area that doesn't mean that people a mile, two miles away are not going to be affected once these wells are drilled. I think that the study should be further looked into. I won't tell you how many years I have lived here but 684 wasn't built when we moved up and my husband worked in New York City. I have seen a lot of changes, a lot of positive changes. I had a dirt road now I have a paved road. My closest all year round neighbor was two roads away now I have neighbors all over the place and I love it but as far as doing a construction project like this I still like the quietness, fortunately I can't hear 684 from where we live and I would like to keep it that way and I don't mind paying the increased taxes. When we first moved here are total tax bill school, county, town was five hundred dollars. You know it is no where close to that near that now but of course so has salaries gone up. Please just look at this, look at the aquifers that is not addressed sufficiently in the DEIS. Thank you.

Applause.

**Harold Schaefer** stated hi, my name is Harold Schaefer I have lived in the Kent area since 1945 so I don't appreciate any of this nonsense. You guys have introduced a parcel on the west side of the highway I wish you would get back on the east side and stay over on that side maybe go a quarter of a mile or a half of mile down the road and put your goddamn project or go to Tilly Foster and put it in in that area next to Home Depot or right down the road from them instead of here. All we are going to get is a bunch of noise and we got plenty of noise from the highway and from all the impatient people that have come up. You guys are in trouble tax wise because you spent too much, you like to binge, and no accountability. You build these buildings and stuff it is not really necessary and because of that we have a problem, the people are being pushed out so maybe that is what you want. You want to have a big mall and no people I wish you luck. I said enough.

Applause.

**Mary Rinzivillo** stated my name is Mary Rinzivillo, I live on 80 Somerset Drive in Patterson. Basically, first of all I want to say don't let the numbers here fool you for supporters of Patterson Crossing there are thousands of people who support it but tonight and last night was curriculum night and there are many people who wished they could be here so hopefully you guys will schedule another one of these. Second of all I think it is very important that we bring in something like this. We need to keep our tax dollars here instead of sending them off to Danbury or Fishkill or Westchester. I understand people have concerns about their properties being damaged or the values going down but you guys are a very responsible Board and we know that you will do the right thing for everybody involved. So, I am hoping that you guys will do the right thing and say yes. Thank you.

Applause.

**Steve Hill** stated good evening, my name is Steve Hill. I live at 77 Echo Road and Concord. My property is adjacent also. I live across the street from Veronica who had spoke before. The entrance way to this so called emergency exit is in my backyard. So, I know a little bit of history about what has been going on back there. I have had a little bit of history with Mr. Camarda, he has been to my house. He has told me on occasions that this so called septic field now that is in my backyard by the way, he said six months ago maybe we will make it a ball field, so it went from a ball field to a septic field probably in six months okay. This is in my backyard not in anybody else's backyard. I am sure there is other people but this is in my backyard. I am going to look at a Costco from my deck, from my porch. Now just visualize you people in your homes walking out on your back deck and looking at a Costco because that is what is going to be in my backyard. I know a little bit about septic tanks, I work for New York City Police Department, I am also a plumber for twenty-seven years and you can't tell me a septic tank in a residential home does work and anybody knows that has a septic tank it is regulated. I don't throw bacon fat, I don't throw oils, I kind of watch it and it is regulated. You can't tell me that some kid that is going to be working in Lowe's is not going to dump muriatic acid or any other pesticide or chemical,

Applause.

**Steve Hill** stated inside that drainage system that is going to go in the leach field and septic tank behind my home which coincidentally all along Vernon because I live there and you can come to my house and see okay, my well is exactly fifty feet from where he wants to put this septic tank, fifty feet not only my well but about twenty other homes along Vernon not down Concord, down Vernon. Everyone's well is within fifty feet of this guy's septic tank that you can't tell me that a septic tank is going to clarify pesticides and poison. This is our, this is where we live. That water we eat with, we clean with, and my kids wash with that water. It is not even debatable. It is not debatable. It is mind boggling how this man can come in here, this is human life and again I love animals, I love trees but we are talking about human lives here. Our quality of life not some bird, not some tree, not some rabbit. It is people that are going to be affected by this, our homes. I am not rich, I don't have money, and I am a blue collar worker. I go to work every day. This guy has got money, God bless him, he is rich I am not. My home is my investment. This is about people and our lives. This is not about taxes, this is not about all this other mumbo jumbo what everyone is talking about,

Applause.

Vice Chairman Montesano stated let him finish.

Board Member DiSalvo stated you are wasting his time.

**Steve Hill** stated it is about our lives, okay and it is in your hands. This is in your hands okay and you are going to literally destroy people's quality of life and our homes, our way of living as we know it. I have a backyard with a deck and a pool. My daughter is sixteen years old okay, I have a twelve year old son, and they are in the backyard every day in my pool, on my deck. He is going to strafe the place, take trees down, he is has got answer thing for everything, Paul Camarda, anything he has a rebuttal for anything that you, whatever issue you are talking about he has got someone to rebuttal it but you can't tell me that twelve hundred cars or two thousand cars every day that pull in to that parking lot and look at my daughter in the backyard with all the perverts and pedophiles and every other walk of low life looking in my backyard at my family okay these are things, these are the consequences that we are going to suffer so please just take it into consideration it is not about taxes, it is not about animals, and I do love animals and I do love the quality, I mean environment but this is about our lives, please take that into consideration. You are going to be destroying a lot of people's lives by letting this thing pass. Don't let this guy line his pockets on our backs, please, please.

Applause, whistling, cheering.

**Karen Carrell** stated good evening, I am Karen Carrell and I am a resident of Patterson. Can't match that, a little technical data here. Anyway the following list contains technical comments on the July 27, 2006 version of the Patterson Crossing Retail Center Draft,

Board Member Rogan interjected Ma'am we are having a hard time hearing you for the record, you need to speak into the microphone a little closer and please start over we will amend your time.

**Karen Carrell** stated the following list contains technical comments on the July 27, 2006 version of the Patterson Crossing Retail Center Draft Environmental Impact Statement, the items are listed in order of appearance in the document not in order of importance. First Page, 3-1 the paragraph on existing tax revenue states that the annual property taxes currently generated by the project site are 56,735 this figure is not supported by the data in both Tables 3-2 and 3-3, this figure needs to be corrected or additional data supporting this figure should be applied. Page 3-1, the first paragraph of section 3.1.1 makes several statements and cites a newspaper article on a proposed budget speech as its source. The statement should be replaced with actual primary source data or removed all together. On Page 3-2, the total combined property and school tax figure in Table 3-2 has been incorrectly summed, the correct value assuming the rest of the data is valid should be 35, 492 as seen in Table 3-3. Page 3-2, the existing cost of services paragraph contains existing cellular communications tower on the site generates the deminimus cost related to the administration of taxes of ensuring emergency services access to the tower. The developer should provide the actual figures for these costs so that the deminimus claim can be verified. On Page 3-3 the second sentence, currently Putnam County generates significantly county tax revenues per capita through its sales tax then other counties in New York State, is pure commentary and is not entirely true either. From the State Controller's report on Sales Tax dated March 2006 there are only sixteen counties that have higher sales tax revenue per capita and all of them are within a factor or two of Putnam County. Further Putnam County sales tax revenue per capita is significantly above the state medium. On Page 3-4, the second paragraph contains the substantial increases in commercial ratables but also come with no increase in resident population or the number of the public school students. The developer must provide the data to substantiate this claim. On Page 3-3, the amount of State Aid that a school district receives is related to the total value of the properties within the district. The higher the total value, the lower the State Aid amount. It is a complicated formula but this is the basic principal. Malls such as Patterson Crossing really raise the total assessed property value, while Table 3-3 predicts that there may be a 579,000 contribution from the

mall to the school district the State Aid portion will go down as a result. The DEIS should include an estimate of the State Aid reduction and adjust the predicated, predicated benefit accordingly. On Page 3-4, the bottom of the page lists the sales tax rates for State, County, and MCTD, the 4% State rate needs to be modified to point out the exception on clothing that went into effect on April 2006. Related to Pages 3-4 and 3-5, Dutchess County has opted to participate on the exemption on sales tax on clothing, the DEIS needs to comment on the affect of this change in the tax code given the proximity to Dutchess County. On Page 3-5, first paragraph, most are probably spending larger amounts on these goods in other counties including Westchester and Dutchess. This is pure speculation and it should be either be removed from the document or substantiated with data. Page 3-6, Table 3-5, all the data is for the towns in Dutchess County only, the DEIS should include the data for towns in Putnam County or state why the Dutchess County data is relevant. The date of the source of the data in Table 3-5 should be provided. The rest will be in written comments. I have one thing to say, I was up at the Highlands not too long ago and talking about septic's it stunk. I could not believe people weren't wearing gas masks because it was raining so hard and the septic obviously was not working or whatever treatment they are using up there and this is going to be a open septic field and it is going to stink.

Applause.

**Chris Kubie** stated I am Chris Kubie, from Patterson, resident of 24 years. This is a continuation, Page 3-6, second paragraph, a portion of the tax revenues collected by Patterson and Kent can be used by the local highway departments for maintenance of local roads according to Table 3-3, and according to Table 3-3 the towns will get a 100k, a 7k respectfully. If these amounts are correct and the devoted solely to road improvements they will not amount to much. The amount that Kent receives would not pay to strip the line on a single stretch of a road. The impact of the town roads will not be mitigated by the minuscule amounts listed here. The DEIS needs to be revised to properly account for the impact to town roads and where the funding that mitigates these impacts is coming from. Page 3-7, second paragraph plays down the cost of fire services and states that there will be no direct costs to the towns and further states the project will contribute 27k and 1k to the towns respectfully. The developer needs to show the cost of a typical fire call to Patterson Crossing by each town and then, pardon me, (a brief pause), Page 3-8 I am sorry I think that about covers it. I would like to add something that I don't hear very much of is liability issues with watershed. I hope this is investigated further and I hope this is going under your consideration. What we don't need is lawsuits, we need to look at the environmental impact even if you don't care about the environment of the water we drink. Everything is about liability today. Thank you.

Applause.

**Jerry Furey** stated my name is Jerry Furey. I live at 14 Fairfield Road in Lake Carmel. At first, I am so glad to see generations of people here tonight and last night because this is governance at its best and this is what makes America pretty, not politics governance. If we think of Thomas Jefferson it goes back to the idea of the individual and the community and communities are made of individuals who think for themselves by themselves but they make the community by sharing with one another the ideal of the common good. You can be a member of several communities. Your church, the state, a housing a development, a town, a voting district whatever the case may be but to be a member of a community requires respect and participation and this concept goes into thinking and acting responsibly. One way to do so is by voting the other is to come to meetings like this. I passed out a copy of a map of District 5 because we have been hearing last night and tonight about the Patterson community, the Kent community and the Carmel community. Patterson Crossing is going to be landed in if it comes in Putnam County Legislative District 5 and if we look at the map we see that it is the edge of Patterson. It is the lower edge of Kent and it

is the upper edge of Carmel. These are places that were carved out for some reason fifteen or some years ago and you wonder why it was made this way. There is no revenue sharing in this County so why would you make a District out of parts of three towns and we begin to see well, where it that. Patterson Crossing will go right in between Kent and Patterson in District 5. District 5 was carved out because it is the tail end of three towns. It is the part of towns where people generally seem to think for themselves, to have ideas, to share in ways maybe different from the rest of their particular towns, maybe they are considered the cuckoo bananas, something but they are all just kind of mooshed together here and for years if you look, what in Patterson, who cares about Carmel, and Carmel who cares about Kent, what is going on here. So, you have this community, a legislative community that is disenfranchised that any legislative deal that affects their town they have nothing to do with because it is not their District. This is something that I think has finally brought District 5 alive that there is a community here made up of people from Carmel, from Kent, from Patterson that are saying wait a minute there is a reality here that we can't dodge anymore and I think that it is the responsibility of all of us in District 5, in Patterson, in Kent and in Carmel to watch over this community and be careful that the criteria of the community is not subjectively wrought but beneficial to all of us. It is interesting, I am high school teacher and we are reading Ipson's, An Enemy of the People. There is a line in there that says if you attack the government you don't do the community any harm anyway. Those fellows pay no attention to attacks. He was talking about big government. They go on just as they are in spite of the community but local authorities are different. They can be turned to and they can be turned out and that is what we need to be here to let the people who are going to make decisions that affect our community understand. Detotville said it best, well 1834, these Americans are the most peculiar people in the world. You will not believe it when I tell you how they behave. In a local community in their country, a citizen may conceive of some need that is not being met, baking cookies for signs, asking people to trust their hearts. What does he do he goes across the street and discusses it with his neighbor then what happens a committee begins functions on behalf of that need. All of this is done by private citizens on their own initiative. The health of a democratic society may be measured by the quality of functions performed by private citizens and I think that is what you are listening to.

(The timer bell sounded)

Board Member DiSalvo stated thank you.

**Jerry Furey** stated thank you.

Applause.

Board Member Pierro stated ladies and gentlemen thank you for your cooperation. We are going to take a ten minute break.

Meeting recessed at 8:00 p.m.

Meeting called to order at approximately at 8:13 p.m.

Board Member Pierro stated please have a seat. We are going to re-adjourn the meeting.

**Rebecca Rabinowitz** stated thank you, testing 1, 2, 3 can you hear me.

Board Member Rogan stated we can.

**Rebecca Rabinowitz** stated thank you very much. I just put together these notes so it is going to be a little scattered but hopefully not too much. I don't want to bore you. I am a resident of Patterson on Ice Pond Road. I also own property on Ice Pond Road in the Town of Southeast so pretty much the way I live now I am about under two miles from the Highlands so I have first hand life experience next to a large shopping center with a box store. First thing to mention of course is the bogus tax relief. There is no tax relief. You put in a Highlands, you cut down a hundreds of thousands of trees, destroy the view from all the residential roads in the neighborhood because the Highlands is on a hill and there is no tax relief so that was the promise from the Town and from the County. So, we got convenient shopping from Home Depot but it is not reflected in our taxes and I have watched every year and of course the taxes have gone up and up and up parallel to what my taxes have been for my property in Patterson. Why hasn't one been less than the other. Why shouldn't I have tax relief when I have all this retail tax and other kinds of tax coming in. From my perspective that is a falsehood. Number two, is the terrible closeness of this gigantic shopping center next to a residential neighborhood. I feel terrible for everyone who lives near there because you cut down all the trees between 84 and your house and you are going to hear 84 and that is what happened to me. As Terravest which is across the street from the Highlands was built it meant cutting down trees and leveling the ground so you didn't have the natural hills and suddenly the natural buffer that you took for granted, sound from 84 was lessened. So over the few years that it is in development the 84 has increased so my way life has definitely been hurt and I can see that happening again right here with this gigantic center being built. It is super sized and you have to see it in perspective to how we live and where we live and why we want to live here, thank you.

Applause.

**Elana Bao** stated hi my name is Elana Bao, I am a resident of the Town of Kent. I am actually a native, I was born and raised here and this community is very close to my heart. My entire family still lives here and we love it. We love it for the character, we love it for its beauty, its serenity and for the wonderful people that live here. I hear, I wasn't planning on speaking tonight because I plan on submitting extensive written comments but in light of the support for Patterson Crossing that I have heard here tonight based on alleged tax relief I felt that it would be remiss of me not to come up here and share of some of my findings regarding the economics of the Patterson Crossing project. My review of the DEIS indicates that it is grossly deficient in terms of the economic data that was presented. He, Mr. Camarda it doesn't even meet the minimum requirement for an economic analysis. He presents sales tax figures that are, not sales tax figures, retail revenue that is completely unsubstantiated, he does not outline any methodology for how the figures were arrived at. There is no cost analysis of this project. He refuses to even acknowledge in this DEIS that this development will have any costs. This is completely inappropriate for a project of this scale and magnitude. We know it is going to cost us and we deserve to know just how much it is going to cost us. We know that it is going to raise the crime rates. We need to know how much additional police we will need. We need to know the costs of those additional resources. We know that this project will require extensive traffic modifications. We know that that is going to cost us millions of dollars. We need to know exactly how much and it is completely inappropriate for these figures to be left out of this DEIS. SEQRA requires that a project's level of detail be directly proportional to the scale and magnitude of the project. This DEIS fails miserably. In order for, this project should be denied out right based on the information that is in this DEIS if you chose to even consider this a viable project you do need to compel the developer to provide a Supplemental Economic Impact Statement. We are a County in fiscal crisis. It would be fiscally imprudent of this Board to approve this project without compelling the developer to submit the economic data in support of his alleged tax relief. Just some of my general observations I am going to leave it at that but some of my general observations regarding this DEIS is that it is written from a very, extremely bias perspective. It includes false data. It is full of faulty logic. There are unacceptable generalizations and

assumptions, it alleges project benefits but fails to provide any conclusive data to support the alleged benefits and most importantly this DEIS minimizes every single negative impact in a most negligent and unacceptable fashion. This project is completely out of scale, completely out of character. It is in the wrong location and for this developer to propose this project to have the audacity to propose a project so grossly inappropriate for this location is just beyond me. The project should be denied out right and it shouldn't even be considered, thank you.

Applause.

**James Shearwood** stated my name is James Shearwood and I live in Kent about as far west in Kent as you can be. I have two points to make and to preface is I like driving to Patterson. When I visit friends in Connecticut I drive through Patterson to get to Connecticut and in looking at the DEIS I realized a lot of the people who come to this center are going to drive through Patterson to get there if they come from Connecticut, if they come from Route 22 and the proposal that, the solution to that problem as written up in the DEIS is that to lessen and this is on 4.8-47, to lessen the traffic on 311 he proposes lights which will push the traffic on to the side streets so that Patterson will have a large traffic problem to help that center exist. It is something that you might want to consider in order to keep the Town as pleasant as it is now. The other point and it is on a larger scheme of things, in looking at the plan it would seem that this is a proposal that would have fit in 1969, a shopping center built that you could only get to buy a car even the people that live a hundred and fifty feet from the shopping center will have to get into their car and drive a mile or two to enter the center. At this time when it is questionable whether we are going to have gasoline in a few years or if we are going to have it, it is going to be a five or six dollars a gallon, it would seem to me that wise planning would encourage developers to build things within central cores like in a city, in a village like Patterson that has a train station and where people can walk to things. Building a center on the outskirts of Town that can only be driven into may mean that the developer goes bankrupt before it is completed and should that happen if people can't drive to it you will have not only no tax rates but something that will cost millions of dollars to take down. Even though it isn't our, it isn't your responsibility to make sure developers make the best decisions in fact you could say, it is his idea let him go bankrupt it would probably be wise to consider the whole community at this point and is this the best thing to be built at this point in our history obviously I think not, thank you.

Applause.

**Christopher Kanuk** asked could I just start.

The Board replied yes.

**Christopher Kanuk** stated hello my name is Chris Kanuk. I have lived in the Lake District basically my whole life. My mother's back road was dirt now it is paved. I moved maybe a mile from her house. I live on the same hill proposed for this shopping center. I can hear 84 now with the trees. What happens when the trees are gone. I live one block away from Echo Road, which is your emergency egress slash alternative exit, entrance. I think it is ridiculous and preposterous that you put it next to a Park District. This is a box store, lights, you cut down trees, traffic. It is preposterous. I don't like it. I am not for it. Everything I have heard tonight is negative, nobody wants it so why are we even talking about this. Squash it get rid of it. I have heard maybe two people that want it, 95% of the people don't want it. Obviously you got your answer taxes don't go down, they never have, they always go up. I don't think we need this. I can travel one exit on 84 and go to Home Depot and all the other stores they put in there. I don't need that in my backyard because I live less than a quarter of a mile from it. Thank you.

Applause.

**Betty Hammond** stated Betty Hammond, I am also on the Lake Carmel Advisory Committee but I have a question for James. What side streets is it going to divert the traffic to. Where are they going to go, North Terry Hill, up the back road. I mean I don't know where he wants these guys to go. Where are they going to go in your town. Where are they going to go, nowhere straight down 311 okay. Anyways, I came up here to make a statement about the water of Lake Carmel, which I heard was horrible. It has been horrible for some years. We have spent thousands of dollars, thousands of dollars to take a look at the water, to protect the land around the water, to protect the water coming into the Lake, to clean up the weeds, to make sure that the water quality is not only potable so can we be affirmed, can we be guaranteed that the water will go definitely down the other side of the mountain that our drinking water is going to stay safe, that our lake water is going to stay safe, that our environment is going to remain the same. Can you guarantee that, no. Thank you.

Applause.

**Kathleen McManus** stated my name is Kathleen Mulvhill McManus. I live at 84 Concord Road, the cross road is Walden Road. The project is approximately sixty-five feet from the back of my house. The one thing I would like to address right off the bat is water testing. In the DEIS the developer states that 39 neighbors were surveyed to participate in testing of private wells, 13 positive responses were received and that 8 private wells were tested. That is incorrect. At least 9 were tested because I was one of them and I am not included in the DEIS. I am disappointed to see on the DEIS that out of the 8 homes on Concord Road that only 1 home is shown to have been tested and that is of my neighbor who at the time of the testing is 101 years old. She is now approaching her 103 Birthday. How much water can a 101 year old woman use in January, maybe two glasses of water and a pot to cook her dinner and I don't say that facetiously. I know Martha very well. So, I question my the water results from monitoring my well were not included on the DEIS and I have to say that makes me question everything said in the DEIS if this information is missing than what else is missing or being misrepresented and I don't say that lightly. Additionally, the water test was done in January, the probe was in my well I believe for at least ten days to two weeks, the DEIS reflects that tests were done for four days. I question why water tests were not done six months later at an optimum time say July or August when water usage at home particularly mine that has five people in it was not done. As you know in the summer, kids are home so there is more water usage. Someone is home all day, you are doing more laundry, more showers, filling the pool, watering your lawn, the dogs, the cars, power washing you name it. In the winter as a foot note, if it snows or if it is icy my water usage is at a minimum because my washer and dryer in my basement I cannot access my basement from inside my house. I physically have to walk out the front door, around the back and into the basement. If I have eighteen inches of snow I don't do laundry at home. I think that water tests in January grossly misrepresents water usage by any family on Concord particularly of a single resident and of my neighbor. I will be submitting comments in writing addressing a lot of other concerns. I did want to highlight the fact that the access road is within 65 feet of my children's bedroom window. I am an asthmatic, two of my children are mild asthmatics. I need three inhalers to breath every day. My husband just came home yesterday from being in the hospital with congestive heart failure so I apologize I am a little emotional. I do expect that within ten years I will have at least one if not more aging parents living with me. I feel that the Board and the community have a responsibility to address the health and well being of its residents and project of this magnitude and use and the particle pollution and exhaust and dust that not just comes from construction but on going use certainly is not contributing to the well being of my family or my neighbors. Just two more quick points, until recently my husband was the site manager of the Brewster Town Center,

which is located at Route 312 and 22 for over nine years. I know from personal experience the elements and activities that happen after hours everything from teenagers spinning cars, crashing them from plate glass windows of stores, getting calls in the middle of the night as my husband being the site manager from the State Troopers about activities. So, it is not hearsay when people say things happen over night. I also know about snow removal and maintenance going on all night long from my husband having to be there. I have a concern with that noise being so close to my family and the salt and sand getting into my well. I will address that more in the written comments. The last thing that I would like to address is to formally request the Board to seriously consider giving people an extension to further review the DEIS. The timing of it coming out in August a lot of people were on vacation. From a personal standpoint I had gone on vacation, took a daughter to college, my husband went in the hospital, you are getting kids ready for back to school and now corporate tax returns are due September 15<sup>th</sup>. You know life gets in the way and priorities, you juggle the priorities and the developer's DEIS on some days this week were not with it. It is sad to say I took the DEIS with me on vacation but the size of this project and the magnitude and the impact it is going to have on the residential community particularly those of us that are on Concord Road and Vernon Drive really warrants a lot more time. I mean he was given a lot more time for

(The timer bell sounded)

Board Member DiSalvo stated thank you

**Kathleen McManus** stated tests and studies, thank you.

Applause.

**Devin Brown** stated good evening. I would just like to say a little bit about traffic here. As the volume of, Vice Chairman Montesano asked would you say your name into the microphone.

**Devin Brown** stated I am Devin Brown. I have been living in Carmel for six years I think. As the volume of traffic on New York State Route 311 southbound approach the intersection, the ramp is expected to increase faster than New York State Route 311 traffic, traveling from Patterson, excuse me, sorry. As the volume of the traffic on the ramp is expected to increase faster than the New York State Route 311 traffic traveling from Patterson capacity on New York State Route 311 will need to be reduced to compensate for increases in ramp volumes. The proposal of adding traffic signals to New York State Route 311 to shift capacity from New York State Route 311 to the side streets, the increased capacity for the minor streets permits, the minor street traffic to enter New York State Route 311 more easily. I would like to personally acknowledge that the claims of the DEIS are that there is no pedestrian traffic and there are no pedestrian destinations in the area surrounding the proposed site. Perhaps the commercial destinations are few in the area but the proposed commercial center is within a quarter of a mile of Lake Carmel and I am not sure what research has been done but there are hundreds of children walking to this Lake everyday. In winter for ice skating and in summer obviously for the Lake itself but also the Carmel High School track team runs throughout Carmel streets throughout the spring and the fall I believe so that is quite a large percentage of study body in Carmel High School. So, I am a little less than convinced that our pedestrian traffic is non-existent in the area but after this project pedestrian will be completely impossible thank you.

Applause.

Board Member Pierro asked Rich is there anything on the back table.

Rich Williams replied there is no other people that did not speak previously.

Board Member Pierro stated thank you and in that regard I make a motion that we close the public hearing.

Board Member Rogan seconded the motion.

Vice Chairman Montesano asked all in favor:

Board Member Pierro	-	aye
Board Member Rogan	-	aye
Board Member DiSalvo	-	aye
Vice Chairman Montesano	-	aye

Motion carried by a vote of 4 to 0.

Board Member Pierro stated ladies and gentlemen thank you for your respect for your neighbors and being part of this process.

Board Member Rogan stated we have one issue that we are going to discuss as the Board and that is the request for the thirty day extension, which has been request not only by many speakers but formally by the Kent Planning Board.

Board Member Rogan stated in that regard, in reference to the Patterson Crossing proposal I will make the motion that the Planning Board grants a thirty day extension to the time frame for the allowance of written comments on this project.

Board Member Pierro asked can we have a roll call vote Mr. Chairman.

Board Member Rogan stated well we have to get a second.

Board Member Pierro seconded the motion.

Vice Chairman Montesano asked you want a roll call vote.

Board Member Pierro replied yes Sir.

Vice Chairman Montesano stated be my guest, we will start with you David.

Board Member Pierro stated ask Missy to do it.

The Secretary did the following roll call:

Mr. Pierro	-	yes
Mr. Rogan	-	yes
Ms. DiSalvo	-	no
Mr. Montesano	-	no

Vote: 2 yes and 2 no, thereby denying the motion to grant a thirty day extension.

Board Member Pierro stated so that means the written comment period will remain at September 25<sup>th</sup>.

Vice Chairman Montesano stated that is correct.

**An audience member yelled** asked what date.

Board Member Rogan stated September 25<sup>th</sup> what is currently,

Vice Chairman Montesano stated September 25<sup>th</sup>.

Board Member Pierro made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Board Member DiSalvo seconded the motion.

Vice Chairman Montesano asked all in favor:

Board Member Pierro	-	aye
Board Member Rogan	-	aye
Board Member DiSalvo	-	aye
Vice Chairman Montesano	-	aye

Motion carried by a vote of 4 to 0.

Meeting adjourned at 8:31 p.m.