

PATTERSON TOWN BOARD MEETING
PATTERSON TOWN HALL
1142 ROUTE 311
PATTERSON, NEW YORK 12563
December 3, 2014

MINUTES

PRESENT: MICHAEL GRIFFIN, SUPERVISOR
KEVIN BURNS, COUNCILMAN
CHARLES W. COOK, DEPUTY SUPERVISOR
PETER DANDREANO, COUNCILMAN
SHAWN ROGAN, COUNCILMAN
ANTOINETTE KOPECK, TOWN CLERK
DONALD M. ROSSI, TOWN COUNSEL

Salute to the Flag and Roll Call.

REPORTS

Mr. Griffin asked the clerk to file the reports as read.

Code Compliance - November
Code Enforcement - November
Dog Control Officer - November
E.C.I. - None
Putnam Lake Fire Dept. – First, Second and Third Quarter
Patterson Fire Dept.-None

SUPERVISOR REPORTS

Mr. Cook made a **motion** to approve the following Supervisor's Report for October.

Seconded by Mr. Burns. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

MINUTES

Mr. Dandreano made a **motion** to approve the following minutes:

Town Board Meeting Minutes - October 22, 2014
Town Board Meeting Minutes - November 5, 2014

Seconded by Mr. Rogan. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

AUDIT OF BILLS

Mr. Cook made a **motion** to accept Abstract No. 22 as written:

General Fund \$76,240.41, Highway Fund \$63,091.58, Waste Water Treatment Plant \$10,355.65, Capital Fund \$125.98, Putnam Lake Light District \$1,103.73, Patterson Light District \$2,100.13, Patterson Refuse District #2 \$10,232.16, Deerwood Drainage District \$18.36, Patterson Park District \$1,508.08, Putnam Lake Park District \$37.89, Alpine Water District \$868.34, Dorset Hollow Water District \$874.58, Fox Run Water District \$563.00, Trust & Agency \$691.10, Grand Total Abstract \$167,810.99.

Seconded by Mr. Burns. Roll Call Vote: Mr. Burns, yes; Mr. Cook, yes; Mr. Dandreano, yes; Mr. Rogan, yes; Mr. Griffin, yes.

SHAWN ROGAN

SECONDARY SHELTER CONTRACT RENEWAL

Mr. Rogan introduced the following **Resolution for Renewal of Agreement with Putnam County Humane Society, Inc. to Provide Animal Shelter Services to Dogs Captured by the Patterson Dog Control Officer:**

R-1214-01

WHEREAS, the Town of Patterson is required to provide animal shelter services in accordance with the provisions of Article VII of the Agriculture and Markets Law of the State of New York with respect to dogs that are captured by the Patterson Dog Control Officer and are unclaimed by the owners of such dogs; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Humane Society, Inc. (the "Society"), a New York not-for-profit corporation, having its principal offices at 68 Old Route 6, P.O. Box 297, Carmel, New York, the sole incorporated humane society within Putnam County, has provided such services to the Town during Calendar Years 2013 and 2014 pursuant to written agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Society has offered to continue to accept dogs that are captured by the Patterson Dog Control Officer and are unclaimed by the owners of such dogs for the 2015 and 2016 Calendar Years at a total annual cost of \$5,000, which is the same amount charged by the Society for similar services in Calendar Years 2013 and 2014; and

WHEREAS, the proposed terms of the acceptance of dogs by the Society are unchanged from those applicable to Calendar Years 2013 and 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board desires to renew its agreement with the Society on said terms;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Patterson hereby accepts the proposal of the Putnam Humane Society, Inc. to accept dogs that are captured by the Patterson Dog Control Officer and are unclaimed by the owners of such dogs for the 2015 and 2016 Calendar Years consistent with the terms referred to above; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Supervisor is hereby authorized and directed to execute a formal agreement with the Society, and any and all other documents necessary to give effect to this Resolution, consistent with the terms hereof, all in form satisfactory to the Supervisor and the Town Attorney.

Seconded by Mr. Dandreano. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

LOCAL LAW BAMBOO – UPDATE

Mr. Rogan stated I spoke with some folks who have these laws in effect on Long Island, specifically Hempstead and the person responsible for it is also a Village Trustee in Floral Park. The law that we have is a good proposal. I think there will be some challenges and we will have to take on by a case by case basis with enforcement.

Mr. Rogan introduced the following **Resolution of Adoption Local Law No. 2 of 2014 – Bamboo:**

R-1214-02

WHEREAS, an amendment to Patterson Town Code Chapter 53, entitled "Bamboo", has been introduced before the Town Board of the Town of Patterson, as Local Law I-2 of 2014, and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on November 5 2014, upon notice duly published and posted, and

WHEREAS, public discussion was heard at such hearing concerning the merits of said introductory local law, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Patterson wishes to amend Chapter 53, entitled "Bamboo", which amendment will add Chapter 53 to the Patterson Town Code pertaining to the regulating of the growing of certain types of bamboo, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law (the State Environmental Quality Review Act) and 6 NYCRR Part 617 of the implementing regulations the action under consideration constitutes an UNLISTED ACTION, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Patterson has reviewed the Environmental Assessment Form submitted for Chapter 53, "Bamboo" as well as other supporting documentation for the project,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Board of the Town of Patterson hereby finds that the proposed action will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact for the following reasons:

1. The proposed local law will not create a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, or noise levels.
2. The proposed local law will not create a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems.
3. The proposed local law will not create a substantial increase in traffic or the use of existing infrastructure.
4. The proposed local law will not create a removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, nor will there be any significant impacts on habitat areas.
5. The proposed local law will not create a significant impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources
6. The proposed local law will not create a significant impairment of existing community or neighborhood character.

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 617.7 issues a NEGATIVE SEQRA Determination.

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Patterson hereby amends Chapter 53, entitled "Bamboo" in the form and manner as provided below, which amendment will add Chapter 53 to the Patterson Town Code for the regulating of the growing of certain types of bamboo, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Introductory Local Law I-2 of 2014 of the Town of Patterson is hereby enacted by the Town Board of the Town of Patterson as Local Law 2 of 2014 of the Town of Patterson, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a true copy of the law is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Seconded by Mr. Dandreano. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

Mr. Griffin stated I would like to comment that it shows great restraint on our part that we are in December and it's only our second Local Law.

MICHAEL GRIFFIN

CLOVER LAKE AUDIT REQUEST

Mr. Griffin stated I spoke to Special Counsel, Tim Curtiss, about this the other day. Apparently, we are receiving a complete lack of cooperation from Clover Lake. They continue to refuse to turn over their financial statements and other things that have been requested through the courts. Mr. Curtiss said that at this point, he was requesting that the Town authorize the hiring of a forensic auditor; however, I have not received any paperwork as of today. I will table this until the next meeting.

PARTNERS IN SAFETY – CONTRACT RENEWAL

Mr. Griffin introduced the following **Resolution Approving Agreement with Partners in Safety, Inc. for Drug and Alcohol Testing**:

R-1214-03

WHEREAS, by letter dated November 14, 2014, Partners In Safety, Inc. submitted a proposed “2015 Complete DOT Program Agreement” to the Town of Patterson for drug and alcohol testing and other related services for the period commencing January 1, 2015 and terminating on December 31, 2015, a copy of which is annexed hereto and incorporated herein by reference (the “Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the proposed Agreement constitutes a sole source contract, which is not subject to competitive bidding under the Town’s Procurement Policy or the General Municipal Law; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Patterson desires to enter into said Agreement with Partners In Safety, Inc. for calendar year 2015 in accordance with the terms set forth therein;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Patterson hereby approves the proposed Agreement with Partners In Safety, Inc. for the year 2015 in the form annexed hereto; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Patterson hereby authorizes and directs the Supervisor to execute said Agreement and any and all other documents and otherwise perform all acts necessary to give full effect to this resolution.

Seconded by Mr. Cook. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

KEVIN BURNS

PUTNAM LAKE CARP GATE

Mr. Burns introduced the following **Resolution for a Determination of Significance Under the State Environmental Quality Review Act for Construction of a Carp Gate at the Putnam Lake Dam**:

R-1214-04

WHEREAS, the Town of Patterson proposes the construction of a carp gate at the Putnam Lake Dam located along Fairfield Drive, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law (the State Environmental Quality Review Act) and 6 NYCRR Part 617 of the implementing regulations the action under consideration constitutes an UNLISTED ACTION, and

WHEREAS, by resolution dated October 8, 2014 the Town Board of the Town of Patterson declared its intent to assume lead agency status for the purpose of conducting the environmental review of the project and thereafter circulated notice of said declaration to all involved and interested agencies on October 22, 2014, and

WHEREAS, the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York (“DASNY”) is an involved agency under the proposed action, and

WHEREAS, DASNY’s proposed action would consist of its authorization of the expenditure of approximately \$50,000.00 of the proceeds of the SAM program bond issuance for the proposed project, and

WHEREAS, no other involved agency has raised an objection to the Town Board of the Town of Patterson acting as lead agency in the review of the Project, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Patterson has reviewed the Environmental Assessment Form submitted for the carp gate at the Putnam Lake Dam, as well as other supporting documentation for the project,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Board of the Town of Patterson hereby finds that the proposed action will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact for the following reasons:

1. There will be no substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, or noise levels.
2. There will be no substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems.
3. There will be no substantial increase in traffic or the use of existing infrastructure.
4. There will be no removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, nor will there be any significant impacts on habitat areas.
5. There will be no significant impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources.
6. There will be no significant impairment of existing community or neighborhood character.
7. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Patterson, pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 617.7, issues a NEGATIVE Determination of Significance in the matter of installation of a carp gate at the Putnam Lake Dam.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Patterson, pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 617.7, issues a NEGATIVE Determination of Significance in the matter of installation of a carp gate at the Putnam Lake Dam.

Seconded by Mr. Cook. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

ZONING LAW – RESTAURANTS

Mr. Burns stated we received a memo from the Town Planner regarding the issue with a restaurant that was closed and reopened as a different type of restaurant and it's had a different impact on the local residents. The Town Planner looked at the definition of restaurants in our Zoning Code. I'll read a few of his findings:

Back in the 1970's and 1980's our definition under the code of restaurant was a very broad and liberal definition. He writes: I would pose to the Board that the issue is not the definition of a restaurant, but the need to ensure correct zoning requirements are met and to evaluate the potential impacts that may occur for many changes within a business, allowing for the Town to potentially mitigate any potential impacts. This is especially true when a commercial property is adjacent to a residential neighborhood. In the present case, the Building Department didn't view the change from a pizzeria to a BBQ eatery as a change in use of the site, and therefore there was no trigger built into the Town Code to review potential impacts of the new business by any other department of the Board.

There are a number of means to address this concern. The Town Board could change the Zoning Code such that any change in a business in a particular location must be viewed by the Planning Board. This method may prove overly burdensome to a new business seeking to locate to Patterson. Second alternative would be to amend the Zoning Code to provide better criteria, by which a more detailed review of the proposal from a new business would occur.

Mr. Burns stated does everyone want to review this and we can consider it. It might be something we want to talk about when we have the joint meeting of the Boards.

Mr. Cook stated I, for one, liked what the Town Planner provided us with. I would like to move on this. I don't think we have to wait until March.

Mr. Burns stated wouldn't we have to decide on what direction we are going to take.

Mr. Griffin stated I think it makes sense to come back at the next meeting and get everyone's suggestions.

Mr. Burns stated has Planning and Zoning even seen this, Rich.

Mr. Williams stated no.

Mr. Burns stated we should forward a copy to them for comment and direction.

BUDGET TRANSFERS

Mr. Burns made a **motion** to approve Budget Transfer No. 49 through 53.

Budget Transfer No. 49

From:	A.3620.100	Code Enforcement Personal Services	\$(1,100.00)
To:	A.3622.100	Fire Code Officer Personal Services Expense Accounts	\$ 1,100.00

Budget Transfer No. 50

From:	A.3510.100	Control of Dogs Personal Services	\$(2,100.00)
To:	A.3620.400	Codes Enforcement Contractual Expense Accounts	\$ 2,100.00

Budget Transfer No. 51

From:	A.7146.136	Recreation Programs PS – Youth	\$ (250.00)
To:	A.7146.137	Recreation Programs PS – Seniors Expense Accounts	\$ 250.00

Budget Transfer No. 52

From:	DA.5130.200	Highway Machinery Equipment & Capital Outlay	\$(20,000.00)
To:	DA.5142.400	Highway Snow Removal Contractual Expense Accounts	\$ 20,000.00

Budget Transfer No. 53

From:	DA.9060.800	Hospital & Medical Insurance	\$(177.00)
To:	DA.9065.800	CSEA Dental & Optical Insurance Expense Accounts	\$ 177.00

Seconded by Mr. Cook. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

Mr. Burns made a **motion** to add one item to his agenda.

Seconded by Mr. Cook. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

Mr. Burns stated at Charlie's behest, we went out and took a tour of the landfill and the solar panels that are being built there. Very nice progress has been made on the site. I believe a fence is going to be put up in the spring. I was wondering if we should give consideration, in the interim, or how burdensome it would be to add a surveillance camera, until that happens, just to prevent vandalism. There is surveillance at Sanitation now, right.

Mr. Griffin stated no.

Mr. Burns stated there are cameras, they are just not on.

Mr. Griffin stated Highway does.

Mr. Burns stated would you be able to add a camera where you are. Basically, my concern was, when they went out there and they were installing the initial tracking to put the panels on, there were a lot of ATV tracks.

Mr. Russ Goff stated it's their tracks, I believe.

Mr. Burns stated no, he said they were there before they got out there.

Mr. Goff stated it could have been our tractor tires, we just mowed it. I never saw an ATV out there.

Mr. Burns stated I happen to know who lives next door and they drive up and down by Highway on ATV's and I have a feeling that something might occur. The panels are not fragile. I think we should take some proactive measure.

Mr. Griffin stated the only thing I would say about that is, because of the size and the scope of the place, we would probably need a very expensive camera. You would have to have something to pan wide and then zoom in. I'll talk to our security company. I'll get some information on the price and see if Mr. Kern wants to pay for it.

Mr. Burns stated that solar site is going to be providing electricity to Trinity Pawling. Apparently, Trinity Pawling has purchased all the electricity that comes off of that site by contract and for the next 20 years they will be providing electricity for the entire Trinity Pawling site. I thought that was pretty impressive that it will generate all that electricity.

Mr. Griffin stated I think they are actually buying Phase I, there is a second phase that the Town is looking at. We will probably be buying that power off of Phase II.

Mr. Burns stated the third thing that came out of it is, the County has not acted on putting a solar site across the street if the site on the landfill is used up, then potentially that might be a site that would be an incentive economically to purchase electricity, which would be a win win for the County.

PETER DANDREANO

PLPAB YEAR END REPORT

Mr. Dandreano stated I don't want to take away from the other Board's that we have, everyone does an excellent job, but I just wanted to pay particular attention to the Putnam Lake Park Advisory Board. Since I have attended a lot of meetings, I've seen where they have gone from the beginning of the year until now. I think they made a lot of accomplishments. I asked the Board to provide me with a list of their accomplishments. The year started with four board members and two resigned early in the year leaving Hank Earle and Mike Ercole to continue on. These two men immediately started interviewing Park District residents and rebuilt the board and brought it back up to seven members.

Mr. Dandreano continued with the PLPAB accomplishments.

Mr. Dandreano stated I want to thank them publicly for all the hard work they have done.

Mr. Griffin stated I agree. You all did a fantastic job.

PUTNAM TRAFFIC SAFETY BOARD UPDATE

Mr. Dandreano stated on December 20, 2014, I represented the Town of Patterson at the last Putnam County Traffic Safety Board meeting. I brought up the issue regarding the railroad bridge wall deteriorating on Route 311 that I brought up at our Town Board meeting. We were trying to reach out to the MTA to no avail. I thought I could try and bring it up at the Traffic Safety Board meeting. The DOT representative and the Putnam County Highway Commissioner Penna said they will try to reach out to the MTA. I also brought up the problem with the loss of (inaudible) off the

exits off of I-84. When the intersection was redeveloped, it was actually designed for traffic lights. When they put the stop lines off the exits, they are kind of short. When you come up to the stop lines, you lose sight of the view coming from the other direction. The DOT said they will look at it and see what they can do. I don't know when or if we will put up traffic lights. They will look at it and see if they can redesign it.

Finally, the DOT reported to us that they are installing pavement markings on Route 311 to address the railroad bridge height restrictions at Route 164 and Route 311.

FAIRFIELD DRIVE CROSSWALK UPDATE

Mr. Dandreano stated this was brought up at a Putnam Lake Neighborhood Watch meeting. A lot of residents were concerned about how dangerous it is to cross Fairfield Drive by the monument and the market. County Legislature Ginny Nacerino attended the meeting and brought the residents' concerns to County Commissioner Penna and pushed for crosswalks. I just received a report from Ms. Nacerino that the County will be installing two crosswalks and one is up already.

Audience member stated part of it.

Mr. Dandreano stated the second one is going to be by the monument.

Mr. Hank Earle stated that one is in also, but it's not completed yet.

Mr. Dandreano stated I just want to thank Legislature Nacerino, the Neighborhood Watch Coordinator Pat Ploeger for helping as well and all the residents' that voiced their concerns about the safety.

PATTERSON FIRE DEPARTMENT SIGN UPDATE

Mr. Dandreano stated I will ask Don Rossi, Town Attorney to give an update on this.

Mr. Don Rossi stated since the last meeting, I spoke to Rich Williams and the other Attorney in our office about the history of the project to date. I really think the Board has to come to a consensus as to how it wants to approach this. The zoning issue is the threshold issue. The property on which the sign is proposed is a lot separate than the fire house property. So, the sign requires a use variance in order for it to be approved, because signs are accessory to principle use on a lot and since it is a vacant lot, there is nothing for it to be accessory to under the zoning code. It complies to my knowledge with the set back requirements. In order to address that, there are a couple of ways that it can be accomplished. One is for the fire department to merge the two properties. That would eliminate the need for the use variance, because the sign would now be on the same lot with the fire house. Another would be for the Town Board to adopt a code amendment, that would be specific to this sign and possibly other similar signs. There are some pretty long range planning considerations here, if the Town is going to do that. There is really not much else to discuss on this.

Mr. Burns stated when we left off, they were really pressing for an exemption from the zoning codes, which I was very leery of.

Mr. Griffin stated one way to look at it from an Emergency Management and Public Service Information angle is, if there is a possibility that we can license it or approve it on an on-going basis through some type of agreement. The main purpose of the sign is for public information and emergency management.

Mr. Rogan stated what you are talking about sounds like a change to zoning to exempt those types of uses from certain zoning issues.

Mr. Rossi stated the exemption that would jump to mind is an exemption that is called the "Monroe Doctrine", which is a process and an evaluation that has to be done as to the actual public nature of the sign. Is it actually being used for a government purpose. There are seven or eight factors that have to be looked at and weighed by your Board in making that determination. If it is the Board's consensus to look into that, then we can certainly do that. My initial threshold issue is, because the Fire Department operates within a fire protection

district, it does not have the same stature as a fire district. A fire district is its own separate entity, thereby allowing it to be considered a governmental entity, which could make use of the “Monroe Doctrine” concepts. I’m not sure that exemption could be granted, since we are dealing with a fire protection district as opposed to a fire district.

Mr. Cook stated I think what we need from you is everything you just said in memo form to the Board, so that we all have the same document that we can discuss.

Mr. Rossi stated sure. If none of the options available to the fire department are viable ones from where they sit, and it’s the Board’s consensus that this project that you would like to see move forward, then that is the way to go.

Conversation ensued.

Mr. Griffin stated let us know what our options are and put it in writing and we will bring it up at the next meeting.

Mr. Rossi stated ok.

CHARLES COOK

BUILDING OPERATIONS REQUEST – REPLACEMENT COMPUTER

Mr. Cook made a **motion** to approve Paul Fava’s request to purchase a new computer for the Building Operations and Maintenance Department in an amount not to exceed \$1,500.00

Seconded by Mr. Burns.

Mr. Griffin stated discussion. Rich, is there someone in the building that is ready for a new computer that we can swap out. No disrespect to Paul, but he doesn’t need a \$1,500.00 computer for what he is doing.

Mr. Williams stated I have a computer that is ready to be installed to replace your secretary’s system.

Mr. Griffin stated there should be enough computers around here. Giving Paul a new computer is about as pointless as giving me a new computer.

Mr. Williams stated Sue, your computer is still operating fairly well, correct.

Ms. Susan Brown stated touch and go.

Mr. Williams stated how much sophisticated work is he actually doing on it.

Mr. Griffin stated can we find him a computer for \$500.00 or \$600.00, he doesn’t need a sophisticated piece of equipment.

Mr. Williams stated it’s the software that is the expensive part. I suggested \$1,500.00 as a cap. Generally we have been spending \$1,100.00.

Mr. Griffin stated if you think you can clean up Sue’s computer and let him use it and see how far he gets with it.

Mr. Burns stated how about a \$600.00 tablet. Would that work.

Mr. Williams stated I don’t know what his needs are or what he is looking for.

Mr. Griffin stated then we should do a little more homework on it. I’m okay approving this, because someone in this building needs a new computer.

All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

Mr. Griffin stated Patricia Brooks, Town Comptroller has been working with the Town Attorney for an RFP for auditing services. Last year we had a new auditor and it saved us some money. Trish would like it to go back out this year.

Mr. Griffin made a **motion** to authorize the Town Clerk to advertise the RFP as soon as the Comptroller and Attorney deem it complete and ready to go.

Seconded by Mr. Cook. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

Mr. Cook stated I received a memo from Frank Farrell relative to Royal Carting renewal. He is stating that effective December 14, 2014, the contract for Single Stream Recycling haulage will expire. Royal Carting presently is charging the state bid rate of \$169.50 per pull. Royal provides us with excellent service, therefore, I recommend that the contract be renewed.

Mr. Griffin stated are you okay with what is going on here, Don.

Mr. Don Rossi stated typically what we would do is confirm the state bid that is in effect. I don't think there are any problems, as evidence by Frank's memo in the service that is being provided.

Mr. Griffin stated if everything checks out, I think we should approve it subject to the Town Attorney's approval.

Mr. Griffin made a **motion** to approve Frank Farrell's request for a term of one year based on the Attorney's approvals.

Seconded by Mr. Burns. All In Favor: Aye. Carried.

Mr. Dandreano stated I forgot to add that the Putnam Lake Advisory Board had a thank you memo that they wanted to add and they will post it on their web-site at www.putnamlakeparkdistrict.com.

PUBLIC RECOGNITION

None

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Mr. Burns made a **motion** to adjourn the Town Board meeting at 7:50 p.m.

Seconded by Mr. Cook. All in favor: Aye. Carried.

Respectfully Submitted,

Antoinette Kopeck, Town Clerk