

APPROVED

**TOWN OF PATTERSON
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
October 28, 2009**

AGENDA & MINUTES

**Proposed Quail Ridge Telecommunications Facility
*Special Meeting***

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

P.O. Box 470
1142 Route 311
Patterson, NY 12563

Michelle Russo
Sarah Wagar
Secretary

Richard Williams
Town Planner

Telephone (845) 878-6500
FAX (845) 878-2019



**TOWN OF PATTERSON
PLANNING & ZONING OFFICE**

**ZONING BOARD OF
APPEALS**

Howard Buzzutto, Chairman
Mary Bodor, Vice Chairwoman
Marianne Burdick
Lars Olenius
Gerald Herbst

PLANNING BOARD

Shawn Rogan, Chairman
David Pierro, Vice Chairman
Michael Montesano
Maria DiSalvo
Charles Cook

**Zoning Board of Appeals
October 28, 2009 Meeting Minutes**
Held at the Patterson Recreation Center
65 Front Street
Patterson, NY 12563



Present were: Chairman Howard Buzzutto, Board Member Mary Bodor, Board Member Marianne Burdick, Board Member Gerald Herbst, Board Member Lars Olenius, Carl Lodes, Attorney with Town Attorney's Office Curtiss & Leibell and Rich Williams, Town Planner.

Chairman Buzzutto called the meeting to order at 7:10 p.m.

Embarrassed

There were approximately 20 members of the audience.

Sarah Wagar was the secretary for this meeting and transcribed the following minutes.

Chairman Buzzutto stated on the agenda tonight is Wireless Edge Westchester Group & Omnipoint Communications.

Board Member Bodor stated the attendance first.

Chairman Buzzutto stated oh, yes. The attendance.

Roll Call:

Board Member Bodor	-	here
Board Member Burdick	-	here
Board Member Herbst	-	here
Board Member Olenius	-	here
Chairman Buzzutto	-	here

1) WIRELESS EDGE & OMNIPPOINT CASE #22-07

Mr. Neil Alexander, attorney with Cuddy & Feder, and Mr. John Arthur, Wireless Edge, were present.

Chairman Buzzutto stated Sarah, will you read the...I'm getting ahead of myself.

The Secretary read the following legal notice:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN BY THE TOWN OF PATTERSON BOARD OF APPEALS of a public hearing to be held on Wednesday, October 28, 2009 at 7:00 p.m. at the Patterson Recreation Center, 65 Front Street, Patterson, Putnam County, New York to consider the following application:

Wireless EDGE Westchester Group LLC & Omnipoint Communications, Inc. Case #22-07; Held over from the November 26, 2007, January 29, 2008, February 26, 2008, and August 25, 2009 meetings

Applicants are amending their original application and are requesting a use variance and area variances to construct a multiple carrier wireless telecommunications facility. The Patterson Town Code does not permit wireless telecommunications facilities in the R-4 Zoning District. The proposal includes the installation of a 145 foot tall monopole; the Patterson Town Code states the maximum height for a structure is 38'; variance requested is for 107'. The Applicants are also proposing an 8' fence for around the cell tower and to include the equipment buildings and other associated structures. §154-15 of the Patterson Town Code; Fences, stone walls and masonry walls, requires that fences shall not exceed 6' in height, except along the lot frontage where the fence shall not exceed 4'. Variance requested is for 4'. §154-20 of the Patterson Town Code; Required street frontage, requires that there be 225' of road frontage in the R-4 Zoning District; Applicant will have 50'; Variance requested is for 175'. This property is owned by the Quail Ridge Homeowners Association and access will be provided off of Garland Road (R-4 Zoning District).

Chairman Buzzutto stated thank you. Do you want to give us a...

Mr. Neil Alexander stated I think most of the wind's been taken out of my sails with that reading of the public notice. The one thing I would like to say is that I think there was...

Board Member Bodor stated excuse me. You need to use the microphone.

Mr. Alexander stated alright. Let me get that. I didn't see how you did all of that [referring to the setup of the microphones].

Rich Williams stated Neil, use Sarah's microphone.

Mr. Alexander stated okay. Thank you, Sarah. I appreciate it. Just for the record, Neil Alexander from Cuddy & Feder here tonight on the behalf of Wireless Edge...I'm running out of rope here [referring to the microphone cord]. I almost ran out of time getting here, so I'm running out of rope on this speaker. I think Sarah read a very extensive notice issued that really sums up where we were. We were last before you, we had had discussions about the PLCC property. We amended our application to move forward with the Quail Ridge alternative; that would entail 145 foot monopole. We did give you a full package. We're prepared to go over it in detail with you. One little notice issue is I believe that since the fence is about 1,000 feet back that we don't need a variance of 4 feet, we need a variance of 2 feet. It's an 8' fence; 6 foot is the requirement when you're that far back. I believe it's 4' when you're up against the road. But you noticed the more restricted variance, which is 4', I'm just noting that I think we only need 2' actually. That's something I guess we can talk to with the Town Planner at the appropriate time. What I'd like to do is turn it over to John to go through some of the boards and materials, in which you'll notice that you do

have. And there's a visual study...a more complete visual study then we went over at the last meeting. You also have a radio frequency plot from T-Mobil, who's our anchor carrier showing that this facility would work for them and that it fits their need, which, again, goes back to the public necessity standard for a use variance we put our proof, with regard to that issue and, you know, the drawings sort of detail a lengthy road, but the road had a very gradual slope and then the fence compound of approximately 10,000 square feet, which we tried to design so we could maximize collocation including municipal antennas, if that's so needed by the Town.

Chairman Buzzutto stated can everybody ear back there [referring to the audience in the back]. Okay, thanks.

Mr. John Arthur stated good evening members of the Board and the public. My name is John Arthur with Wireless Edge. And I will go through the application we prepared and submitted prior to this. Most of the material we've already gone through at the last meeting, more in general terms. So some of this will be repetitive, but I'll just take it from the top and walk you through and then afterwards if there's any questions, then we can go back over. The proposed site is in the...the address is Quail Ridge Homeowners Association property, which is surrounded by two of their neighborhoods; to the north and to the west, and then to the south of Garland Road and a few neighborhoods right off the north tip of the lake. The site is approximately 900' or so into the property from Garland Road. And you know, all of these shots are in the package [referring to the pictures]. Now this is a more detailed aerial photograph, and you can see that the access comes off of Garland Road, runs approximately straight and there's a little bit of a jog to get to the compound. But the site is completely surrounded by woods in all directions. And this is the proposed Wireless coverage that we're going to achieve from this site. We've outlined here, I guess, the boundary of what they call the Putnam Lake Community area. The lake itself is a little hard to see in this plot, but it's the big open area. The blue dot is the original site; it's just for reference. The red dot is the site that we're talking about at Quail Ridge. As you can see, the yellow area is the coverage, and that's at a -84 dBm level, which is considered in-vehicle coverage, which is generally what the wireless carriers are trying to achieve as a minimum. And as you can see, the coverage really does get a good chunk of all the neighborhoods around the lake, which is really all the home areas. And then it loosely connects up with the other surrounding sites, which are noted in green. Of course there are...It is in a bit of a bowl, so there are hills and, you know, this is really in a valley. So you are getting some blockage because of the hills in really the three directions. We've included a couple of photographs of the site. It's difficult because you're seeing a lot of trees in the photographs. But this is a view, Photograph #1, looking at the end of Garland Road; looking straight back toward the site. So as you can see the road pavement sort of ends around here. The property line is probably roughly where the road ends or a little bit in. And then we would bring our access in through that way. It looks like there was maybe a road in there at one time. Maybe an old logging road, because it's definitely a...There's a wall going straight back, which is this wall which goes right back to the property [referring to pictures]. And it's more clear than the rest of the woods, so it does appear to be something that was used as a route in the past. Photo 2 is a view from the site. If you were standing at the site looking back toward Garland Road. And you see this is where about the gate would be for the compound. And as you can see, there's a break in the stone wall, so we're kind of hidden back into the woods that way. You're going to see some of the...It's a much younger growth of the trees as you go back along the road, you know, compared to what you see off to the sides. Actually, maybe what I'll do is put this over here and then when I finish with the boards, they'll be facing the public [referring to the presentation]. Photo 3 is a view while at the site. It's actually looking east. I know when we get to the drawings, we'll kind of put this in perspective, but the stone wall...There are some stone walls surrounding most of the site. This yellow stake is actually indicating the location of where the tower would be. Actually if you look closer this you can see the string. That's when we did the balloon test, so that's the string to the balloon. And there are trees in the site, but it is a bit open, you know, comparatively speaking

to the rest of the woods. Now this picture is not in the package. I just added this in last minute, but it's just to give you an idea of what the tower really looks like, you know. And of course, it's more up close and personal than you would see the site from because you're going to be so far away. But, just to give you an idea of the configuration: It's a tapered monopole, the antenna rays are, you know...it's a typical carrier ray, which has six antennas and standoff arms. There's no walkway or platform up there. This top mount here happens to be a municipal antenna. So if the Town wanted to put their own antennas up there, this is how we would mount it. It's a smaller frame, and that could take up to 8 whip type antennas. And that's at the top of the pole.

Board Member Herbst stated how high is that antenna.

Mr. Arthur stated this particular tower, that is about 150' [referring to the tower in the picture]. So it's actually a little bit taller than the one that we're proposing. This antenna happens to be about a 20' whip.

Chairman Buzzutto stated is that for fire departments and so forth.

Mr. Arthur stated yes. And this particular one is for an ambulance service. But most municipal antennas tend to be these 20' long whip antennas. And that's what we see over and over again that towns use. And we pre-designed them so that they could hold up to 8 of those. So if you had multiple services, each service would have, you know, one antenna. You know, unless they do some type of sharing arrangement.

Chairman Buzzutto stated that's just a demonstration. Because, you see, I see the wires in there. What are they.

Mr. Arthur stated no, this is just...Having this particular picture, I'm kind of standing back far enough to where...This is actually a zoom photo. So I'm standing back in an area where I can try and get a view looking down at the site as opposed to a lot of views you see, you're kind of looking up. So, there are some telephone poles and wires in the foreground, and actually this is a chimney of a building, and a tree stump over here [referring to a picture]. So there is a little bit of clutter in the foreground that we're looking through. But, the idea is that if we were any closer to the site we would be kind of looking up...

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Mr. Arthur stated so I wanted to get a view...So if you were looking at this site at Quail Ridge, and you were looking back from the lake, you can see a view, roughly, this perspective. You know, add trees, you know, at this level.

Board Member Bodor stated that has one carrier on it, is that correct.

Mr. Arthur stated this has one carrier. Typically these sites are designed with carriers at every 10 foot level. And this one, you can actually see the ports. If you look at a monopole and you see the little port holes, that shows you where the pre-designed those...carrier locations be. The site that we're proposing, because it's such a high tree canopy, we've squished up the levels to 8', because otherwise by the time you get down to the bottom those carriers are going to be behind the trees; it's not going to be very useful for them. So...

Mr. Alexander stated John, this is also a site in Connecticut that you have (inaudible – coughing) existing site.

Mr. Arthur stated this is in an existing site.

Mr. Alexander stated this is not a photo simulation [referring to the picture].

Mr. Arthur stated no.

Mr. Alexander stated I just want to make that clear. This is not a photo simulation.

Mr. Arthur stated this is an actual site. This one happens to be in the town of Salisbury, Connecticut. It's on municipal property. Back in May, we went out to the site. We performed a balloon test. We had...Besides myself, we had two observers in the car. We went around the lake and the surrounding areas around the site. We had an observer from the homeowners association as well. Obviously they were interested in what's the view from their neighborhood. We went, really, all around the site; 360°. We went and covered practically all the roads in the lake. And these five locations were really the primary locations where we could see the site. And it takes a bit of a trained eye because the distance is quite far. And in some cases, it's very difficult to actually discern the balloon, but...These are the areas and you can see why if you're standing here right at the shoreline, you're looking across the lake. So there's no trees to really block your view and you would get a sight line. And in some of these cases, like one and five, as you'll see when I show you the photos, you're looking through a hole in the trees. So it's very difficult to actually find a site, but...In these locations down at the bottom, there's a little more opportunity because you're looking across the lake with no trees in the way. Now actually in the package you had the last time there were photos, and I just put these in the back just in case you wanted to see them for reference, but these are the photos that we provided in the last package. And you can see there's the balloon, is red dot right here. This view is taken with a normal lens, which is what you would see with the naked eye. And then in the corner we put an inset where with a zoom view, it's a 400 millimeter view of what the balloon looks like so that you could see there is actually a balloon there. We took these photos plus the scale of the balloon, which is about 4 foot diameter, and we did a photo simulation. And actually, we used that same tower I showed you before as the basis of the photo simulation. And as you see in this view which is Photo #2, that is from the shoreline of the lake. Again, if you were standing in the road, you can see that you have to actually kind of go out and there actually was a little bit of a sandy area that we kind of...you know, a boat launch area that we were able to walk out on and that way we were able to find an opportunity where we could see the balloon. So this is a photo simulation of what it would look like at that point.

Mr. Alexander stated I'll get rid of some of your clutter here [referring to the pictures that were already seen].

Mr. Arthur stated and the last package we had five photos. We didn't have to do a simulation on four of them because there was really just nothing to simulate. We're looking at imprint...We couldn't even get the graphics to...at that resolution. So this is location 3 from South Lake Drive which...Actually, that's misnumbered [referring to the diagram]. That's number 3 which is right there. So as you drive out here, and walk in the road toward the water, that's where you would see that location.

Mr. Alexander stated John, at that point you're over in...You're well over a kilometer.

Mr. Arthur stated yes, actually, at that point you're 1.5 miles from the site. So it's, obviously it's long. You could see that...That's what you would see with the naked eye. And with a zoom lens simulated with the tower it would look like. But you would never be able to get that close.

Mr. Alexander stated just to go back for a quick second, on the first photo it's about 1,000 yards, right.

Mr. Arthur stated on the first photo...

Mr. Alexander stated looking at the scale on your photo key.

Mr. Arthur stated it was .8 miles was the first photo.

Mr. Alexander stated just trying to sort of also help get a sense, because I think sometimes it can get confusing when you see the inset and it jumps out at you with the inset with the 400 millimeter camera, as opposed to, you know, pulling it back to you know your life experience of what $\frac{3}{4}$ of a mile away is and how far that is.

Mr. Arthur stated you can...

Mr. Alexander stated in that context.

Mr. Arthur stated some of the insets where you see the balloons, the balloon looks a bit fuzzy. That's because we just couldn't pick up the resolution at that range. Like in this view, see the balloon is a bit fuzzy because the resolution just didn't pick up. So photo simulation 4 is actually at the boathouse location. So if you walk out past the boathouse to right at the water's edge, this is the view that you see. And this particular view, it's just so much farther back, the tower is now viewed within the context of the background hills. So really, it's hard to imagine that you would actually see it at all because it would be brown. And here we actually had it enhanced a little bit so that it popped out of the photo a little bit more because otherwise it would just be... You wouldn't be able to see discern it. So here there would be nothing that sticks up above the skyline. And the last photo simulation, #5, is from Brandon Road. And that is right out here. It's actually a couple of streets to the north of that. There really is no view, so what we're really doing is kind of looking across the street, kind of through the trees... It's really a hole in the tree, and that's the site back there. And here we've done a zoom with the simulation. If you look at the original shot... Yeah, it's kind of a pinprick in the middle there. There's the zoom. You can kind of see the context. That's a 4' diameter balloon. This bushy part of the tree here, it's that little tiny thing sticking up there. So it's pretty small at these locations. And of course as you get closer to the site, you start losing the angle and then you don't see it at all. And in reality, we believe that, you know, the leaf off and the leaf on conditions will really be practically the same because it's really the canopy that you're looking through, you're looking through so many trees. So with that, I'll turn to the drawings. And I boarded up only four of the drawings. If there are other drawings that you want to have a discussion about, or the sheets, I can put those up. I brought those with us, but these seem to be the most significant. Page ZO1 shows the site relative to the surrounding tax lots. And we did some setback dimensions from the fence. In the case of Garland Road we have an 898' setback. That would amount to about a 950' setback to the tower itself. About 915 [feet] to the property to the west. Actually, if you turn it this way, this would be... north is up [referring to the diagram]. And then about 570' to the first property corner at Phillard Road. And here you see the access road coming in. We kind of go... This existing stone road that we're really following till we get near the site and then we kind of (inaudible) a couple more stone roads... stone walls that surround the site.

Chairman Buzzutto stated where is Garland Road on there.

Mr. Arthur stated Garland Road is right here.

Chairman Buzzutto stated right there.

Mr. Arthur stated so we're basically coming to the end there and we're coming off of here with the access road.

Chairman Buzzutto stated okay.

Mr. Arthur stated so really, even if you were looking down the access road, you have no view of the site because there's a couple of twists before you get to the site. If it was a straight in, then theoretically you would be able to look straight in and see the site and then the background. And that just worked out that way because we just followed the existing walls.

Board Member Olenius stated did you investigate, by any chance, access from Phillard [Road] or Danand [Lane] to the site.

Mr. Arthur stated we did. In fact when we first went up with the balloon test, we actually walked in from Phillard, which was a little problematic bringing the gas tanks in. But what happens in the middle here, there's a gorge. So when you kind of walk through these properties here, this side of the cul-de-sac, you go down a steep incline and you come to kind of a marshy area and then you got to basically climb up. So it's a pretty extreme walk. Very similar situation when you come in from this side. And actually, there's a little bit of an access way here. There's basically streams and a gorge in here. So really, and the same thing to this side, too, there's wetlands and some streams. So there's really is no practical access, except from Garland Road. Normally when we do these sites, we want to try to minimize our utility runs. So having a longer access road, you know, is a cost impact to us on that. But, nevertheless, that...really, we didn't have a choice in that situation. Page ZO4 is just an elevation and the vertical space planning of the site. As you can see, we have one carrier shown up here, which represents T-Mobil, or Omnipoint. And we have an 8' separations, predesigned for the site and then a municipal reserved area at the top. And then this is the configuration of the antenna mounts. We predesigned the antenna mounts and we made all the carriers use that same identical mount. It's factory painted to match the pole. And it's just a standoff arm; single arm that comes off, a single cross member. That's what they're called; a T-arm. And then the antennas are lined up on pipes around the outside. And then this is a color chip of the actual tower.

Board Member Olenius stated and when you initial install this tower, you install the mounts for all 6 carriers. Do you install the antenna rays, too. Or would a crane be coming back and forth at later dates as new carriers signed, or...

Mr. Arthur stated no. We only let them...Well, first of all, we install what's needed at the time. So we wouldn't preload this with levels. Also, if they only have one antenna, for whatever reason, we only let them put one antenna, and one antenna pipe. We don't...You've probably seen some monopoles and they've had pipes all over the place. We just basically put one pipe per antenna, cut the pipe to the same size as the antenna so it's not an additional, you know, visual issue, and the next carrier comes on, you know, take a fresh look at that. But they would be using the same mount, same configuration, same painting.

Board Member Olenius stated all at the time of construction though.

Mr. Arthur stated at the time that each carrier constructs. So for the initial installation, typically we will install this mount because it just makes it so much easier when you're putting the pole up to put the first mounts up. But in the future, you would need a crane. You know, these guys, steeplejacks, basically get up, they through it up and install it.

Chairman Buzzutto stated how long does it take to install that once it's up, when a crane comes in. Not the same day.

Mr. Arthur stated it...right. Just to put the monopole itself in...

Chairman Buzzutto stated no, no. I'm talking about after that, if another carrier wants to mount on, how long will it take them to put that up there.

Mr. Arthur stated it might be two days. Generally the carriers...there's an equipment shelter. They'll bring in a crane, because that has to come off of a truck and plop down. If they have cabinets, they don't need to do that. So it's going to be carrier by carrier, what type of equipment they want to bring in. But typically they don't...Even if they bring a shelter in, they don't use the crane to put their equipment up because that would come in, and then another crew comes in, and these guys just climb the tower and they pull that stuff up with winches.

Chairman Buzzutto stated it's fairly quick though, I mean...

Mr. Arthur stated yes. They can...These mounts just bolt on and, you know, they would be able to put the mounts on, probably the antennas, in a day. And then there might be another day or so of cabling and hooking up to the equipment. Plus they have testing and things like that they have to do, so...You know, a typical collocation might take maybe a week. Maybe a few days more if there's a lot of (inaudible) work. You know, if there's a shelter they need to put foundation there. The antenna portion is relatively short.

Board Member Olenius stated all the cabling runs down within the monopole; inside.

Mr. Arthur stated yes. All the cabling...Each level has cable ports. And the cable ports all have covers and then as they need them they remove a cover, and the cables hang down the center of the pole, and they come out two holes at the bottom. We did include in the package a survey. Actually, the drawings should be in that packet as well. But this is an overall site layout. First (inaudible) but it shows the access road. Utilities will come off...There's an existing pole on Garland [Road]. It will come off that pole. It will go underground right alongside the side of the access road. And that road, by the way, that's going to be a gravel road. And we've provided some details on the road in the package. And it goes to the site. The site has a fenced compound. We like to predesign locations where the carriers will go and predesign where they're going to run their utilities ahead of time, so it's kind of a master planning of the site. So when you see subsequent applications, they're going to be in these locations. They're going to be running their conduits where we tell them to run them ahead of time. You know, we're not really big fan of going back and kind of letting them do what they want to do.

Chairman Buzzutto stated will there be a gate at Garland Road to that access. Is that...

Mr. Arthur stated yes. Well, right now what we were planning, there would be some sort of barrier. It might be just simply a chain; that might be the minimalistic way to go. I mean, you could put a gate that swings, but a lot of these sites we see, you know, two poles, a chain going across there and maybe a sign and there's a lock on there. So vehicles could just go up there...

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes, but I'm not talking vehicle, I'm talking about dirt bikes and stuff like that could get around that.

Mr. Arthur stated yes, you know how it is. If they want to get in there, they're going to get in there. They're just going to go around and there's not much you can do about that sort of thing. You know, if they're doing that, they're probably doing that now already. There's a path through the woods. We didn't see evidence of people riding back there. Usually you know when you go back to these sites, you see tracks and things like that. So, I don't think there's anybody back there that uses that right now like that.

Chairman Buzzutto stated and once they get into the site, they can't get into the equipment... Well, there's going to be an 8' fence around there.

Mr. Arthur stated there will be an 8' fence around there and there will be a double gate, which is locked. And, you know, all the equipment is also locked, and the towers always inaccessible. So they can't just go up there and start climbing. Even if they get in there, you know, they would have to bring along a 15' ladder to get up to the first climbing peg. You know, plus it would probably be an insane thing if you attempt it anyway.

Chairman Buzzutto stated okay.

Mr. Arthur stated I'm going out of order with the pages, I'm just trying to follow a logical flow. ZO3 shows a more... detail of the compound. Off of the first... we have a little bit of a turn around area for the vehicles, and it's all going to be gravel. And we're staying within the confines of the existing stonewall. There's a double gate. We have a Bose transformer. There's telephone service which comes in and provide (inaudible) for the carriers. And there's a utility setup; meter bank. And that all comes through the underground conduits. And then we have one area... We usually make the closest area to the utilities the reserved area for municipal public safety equipment. Enough room to put equipment shelter, or whatever. Cabinets may be required. And then the carrier slots are located around the edges. And we also have a view of them; of the gravel drive, a section of that, and a typical fence detail.

Board Member Olenius stated that gravel drive is maintained, like, through the winter for snow removal.

Mr. Arthur stated it generally is. Most of the winters have been fairly mild. But, you know, if there's a heavy snowstorm, we would have to have someone come in and plow. But it is gravel so it's not like an asphalt surface that you just kind of scrap off. You know, you have to be very careful when they do that. Light snow we probably wouldn't bother.

Chairman Buzzutto stated on that parcel on Quail Ridge, it says that parcel shall remain as open space, according to what I've got here.

Mr. Arthur stated is that in the...

Chairman Buzzutto stated the Homeowners Association. This open space in the...

Mr. Alexander stated what are you reading from.

Board Member Olenius stated yes. I think those are notes that the Town Planner...

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Board Member Olenius stated just for reference.

Mr. Arthur stated I'm not aware of that.

Mr. Alexander stated we haven't seen anything from the condo association or otherwise in the deed that precludes this from happening. I think that would be more of a title issue than it would be a zoning issue, anyway, if it exists.

Chairman Buzzutto stated I just wanted to bring that to your attention.

Mr. Alexander stated no. Yes, that would be something we would...Even if that were the case, as I'm trying to pull up...That would be our risk on the title (inaudible – coughing). That wouldn't be a zoning...That's not a basis for a...a problem from a zoning standpoint.

Chairman Buzzutto stated okay.

Mr. Arthur stated and incidentally, we need to go before the board members and the actual old property owners of the associations they like to vote on this project. You know, prior...

Chairman Buzzutto stated you have or you have to.

Mr. Arthur stated we have done that.

Chairman Buzzutto stated you have done that.

Mr. Arthur stated right. That was (inaudible) getting a lease from the association.

Board Member Bodor stated and it was received favorably from the Homeowners Association.

Mr. Arthur stated yes. I wouldn't say it was unanimous, but I would say it was pretty close.

Board Member Bodor stated is there any...

Mr. Arthur stated there's about 39 homes.

Board Member Bodor stated is there any lighting planned for this compound.

Mr. Arthur stated no. In regards to the tower itself, we've already received confirmation from the F.A.A. that we would not require lighting on the tower. In addition, we don't light the site, you know, for equipment maintenance. Some of the carriers will put in lights. Like let's say that they have an equipment shelter. They can put a front door light on so a technician can either come up and maybe there's a motion sensor or timer. Some of the cabinet equipment...Some of the carriers would have cabinets. They typically put a light, maybe up on one of their cabinets or on a pole so that, again, a technician can go up and turn he can turn the timer, and he can work, you know, if he has to come to the site at night. But generally there's no lights that you see normally.

Chairman Buzzutto stated alright. We'll take some questions from the audience on this. Alright, we'll take some questions from the audience. Can you come up to the microphone, give your name, and just keep it short. Does anybody want to speak on this. Your input. You want to come up and give your name.

Ivis Sampayo stated hi. I'm Ivis Sampayo, and I'm right at 19 Garland Road. You're going to be right off of my property. I was not happy about the idea of having it right there. But I do have some questions. Has there been any research with the Environmental Protection Agency with respect to how close this is going to be to the home. I happen to be a breast cancer survivor, 16 years yesterday. And my neighbor that's on the other border, happens to be a melanoma survivor, and I'm also a 2 year melanoma survivor. So I really have some questions and concerns about this, and I want to reach out to the E.P.A. I'm part of the National Breast Cancer Coalition. And I just had the opportunity on Friday meeting Mrs. Obama to mention about different things that are going on. I know people keep saying that well, it's not supposed to be stated, but it's a health hazard. But the reality is that for 20 years, they did the hormone project where they were giving women hormone treatments for 20 years telling them it will help you not to get breast cancer and you won't have any cardiac problems because of it. And what wound up happening is they proved 20 years later that the women were getting breast cancer because of it and they were having cardiac problems. So, I don't want to be on the (inaudible). I don't want to have my children and those of my neighbor to wind up having problems. You know, we all have well water there. We all have to bath in that, even if we do have water that we're drinking from either bottles or containers. The point of the matter is that it's a real concern. The other concern I have is the fact that it's going to be in the back and it's very tempting for teenagers and young kids to find this area to play in, to be back there. And the whole idea of my having moved to Garland Road end was because it was a dead end street. Was because of the fact that this is a quiet neighborhood and I want to make sure that it stays that way. Thank you.

Chairman Buzzutto stated thank you. Thank you for your input.

Mr. Alexander stated when we collect all the input, then we'll respond...

Chairman Buzzutto stated right.

Mr. Alexander stated at the end, if that's okay with you.

Chairman Buzzutto stated anybody else in the audience wish to speak on this.

Mr. Alexander stated okay. I guess I'll do it right now.

Board Member Bodor stated there's someone back there.

Dominic Lamorte stated I'm Dominic Lamorte. 15 Garland Road. What is the benefit for the residents at Garland Road for having the access on our road as opposed if...It's benefitting Quail Ridge obviously, why can't they just deal with it. That's all I have. Also, the only other thing, I just moved there two years ago. You know, we moved there to start a family and, you know, have a nice quiet neighborhood. And I feel like that's going to be disrupted.

Board Member Bodor stated thank you.

Chairman Buzzutto stated thank you very much. Anybody else like to have a word up there. You want to come up, Sir.

Jeff Bloss stated do you mind if I just take one of the [referring to a board of the presentation]...

Mr. Arthur stated oh, sure.

Jeff Bloss stated the one that you had the map on.

Mr. Arthur stated this one.

Jeff Bloss stated of Quail Ridge.

Mr. Arthur stated of the photo.

Jeff Bloss stated no. Where you have to property lines. I just...

Mr. Arthur stated okay.

Jeff Bloss stated want to point out where I live. Up here.

Mr. Arthur stated that's fine.

Jeff Bloss stated Jeff Bloss. I'm at 18 Phillard Road, which is right up there. John and I actually spoke a couple of times over the last couple days. I was never a huge fan of having a cell site anywhere. But it sounds like it's a foregone conclusion. The only...I walked out there today. I walked out there last week. The only concern I have, other than health concerns, and hopefully those get addressed, John (inaudible) sort of having some kind of natural border along the north side there. I got a little concerned; I walked out there today, you know, there's probably 20% of the foliage still on the trees. I thought I could still...I thought I could see my house from there. John was supportive of trying to put something, you know, added foliage or some kind barrier so that the site itself wouldn't be visible. That was the only comment I had on it. You know, it sounds like all the complaints that people have had of the other sites, this is probably, if there's going to be a site in the area, it's probably the one that is going to impact the least number of people. But that was it.

Chairman Buzzutto stated okay.

Board Member Bodor stated thank you.

Chairman Buzzutto stated thank you. The gentleman back there.

Matt Gordon stated Matt Gordon. I live on Haviland Drive. Just like to speak to public need. We also (inaudible) just moved here just exactly 2 years ago this month, and the cell phone reception is pathetic when you get around Putnam Lake and north. We actually had to change carriers; found the one carrier we could kind of get reception at home. Of all the other sites that I know were proposed, this is clearly the overall least intrusive and I urge the Board to approve it.

Chairman Buzzutto stated thank you very much...

Board Member Bodor stated thank you.

Chairman Buzzutto stated for your input. The lady.

Vickie Ong stated hello, my name is Vickie Ong. I live over on Danand Lane. One of the biggest concerns that I have that we should have the cell tower is for emergency purposes because I hear from the fire department that, you know, there's such spotty reception throughout the Putnam Lake area. And if you

can't get reception and there's an emergency, like 911, we're not going to be able to get in contact with somebody to help in emergency situation. And that is one of the biggest concerns I have. And especially, like, after 9/11, you know, all the phone lines were busy and we didn't have any reception for a cell tower, and this was one of the biggest things that I heard. So we need to take into consideration of the emergency fire department. I've heard from them how they were feeling about these emergencies and they couldn't call, you know, because there's no reception. So that's one of my concerns. Thank you.

Chairman Buzzutto stated thank you. Anybody else would like to say a word here. Alright, will you come up and...

Mr. Alexander stated yes. I think one issue...I'm sorry [referring to not having a microphone].

Chairman Buzzutto stated answer their problems or...

Mr. Alexander stated I'll try and address their concerns. I'm obviously, you know, when you're dealing with regulating in the area of risk, you can't satisfy everybody completely 100% of the time. I mean, it's no different than driving on a road; once you have a turn in the road, there's a potential for an accident. What the FCC has done is they issued a bulletin, OET 65, that sets forth a methodology and standards by which you prepare health and safety reports in the arena of wireless facilities. And we have provided you with a copy of that report. We showed that we comply completely. I think...I'm trying to remember. It was less than 5% of...

Mr. Arthur stated it was less than 1%.

Mr. Alexander stated less than 1%. Obviously that would increase, potentially to less than 5% when all the carriers were on there, or was that when...

Mr. Arthur stated no. That's with accumulative carriers.

Mr. Alexander stated that was with accumulative. You're right. I forgot. That was accumulative. So it was less than 1% and that's being driven by the height of the tower. Also, you know, to point out here, you're getting...these distances are substantial distances. In particular we think by comparison to an urban environment where you have them on every other rooftop. You have them on a rooftop of hospitals. So I mean, this a ubiquitous technology. I think what's most important is that there's a FCC methodology by which you have to prepare a report; we provided that report. We showed that we were less than 1% of the standard. So, I think that addresses that issue. I think we discussed most of the other issues that were raised. We appreciate, you know, individuals sharing their anecdotal experience as to the lack of service, which further demonstrates what our carriers and tenants have told us, which is that there is an incredible need for service and a want of service in this area. And I think that hit everything.

Mr. Arthur stated I guess there was concern about, you know, access from Garland Road and what benefits there would be to the folks on Garland Road. Besides the improved cellular coverage, emergency services coverage, that's really the benefit to the community. From an access standpoint, obviously there's an existing egress into the site. So, you know, as a property owner, the Association has a right to access from wherever they can. This is really the...clearly the best, if not, the only access to get into the property. There was concern...Jeff had mentioned landscaping and screening. That's something when we get to the planning stage, we're going to be getting back out to the site obviously and I'm going to pay close attention to, you know, any views from Phillard Road. I thought last time I was out there that we couldn't see

anything. However, if there is any kind of visibility, I've committed to providing screening or landscaping around the site (inaudible – coughing) that. I think that was about it.

Board Member Burdick stated what is the distance from Garland Road to the actual site. How far is that. I think you may have said, but if you don't mind.

Mr. Arthur stated it's 898' from the edge of the fence to the end of Garland Road.

Board Member Burdick stated okay, thank you.

Mr. Arthur stated and about another 50' or so to get to the tower.

Mr. Alexander stated plus the side yard setbacks for the individual property owners. So we're getting close to almost 1,000 feet at that point, if my math is. Anywhere from 900 to 1,000 feet from any...

Board Member Burdick stated thank you.

Mr. Alexander stated residence or anything.

Chairman Buzzutto stated of the poor reception in the lake, Put[nam] Lake, how much of an improvement will this give the residents on the quality of cell phone use. I mean would this tower be (inaudible) increase the...

Mr. Arthur stated if you have, or obviously depending on which carriers come on.

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Mr. Arthur stated in a new location like this where there really is no other alternative, you know, carriers are going to go there. But it will be a dramatic improvement...

Chairman Buzzutto stated over what they've got now. It would be...

Mr. Arthur stated that's right. I mean, we can't promise that they'll have...Everybody around...

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes, I know.

Mr. Arthur stated in-building coverage. But that's kind of the ultimate goal. But certainly road coverage and, you know, really all around the lake you'll be able to get good signal.

Chairman Buzzutto stated does that overlap with the Noletti site, or is it...

Mr. Arthur stated the Noletti, I believe, that's down here [referring to a map].

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Board Member Burdick stated off [Route] 22.

Mr. Arthur stated there's two sites here. There's one...

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Board Member Bodor stated Noletti is north of Haviland Hollow [Road].

Mr. Arthur stated that's the...

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Board Member Olenius stated it's up in the green area.

Mr. Arthur stated that's the one Cingular put in.

Mr. Alexander stated that's that...

Mr. Arthur stated this one [referring to the map].

Mr. Alexander stated that's Noletti. The one down south is Mal Dunn.

Mr. Arthur stated okay.

Board Member Bodor stated right.

(Inaudible – too many talking).

Mr. Arthur stated Haviland Hollow site. There really is not a lot of overlap. You do get some coverage on Haviland Hollow Road. So there is some connectivity. And by the way, just because it's white doesn't mean there's no service because, you know, you might get service when you're standing outside your car. When you're at the -95 dBm level. But it's...the carriers these days don't consider that to be reliable.

Chairman Buzzutto stated there will be big improvements on...

Mr. Arthur stated oh yes. It will be dramatic.

Mr. Alexander stated everywhere that's yellow is you're going to have reliable vehicle...vehicular service. And, you know, on that map, I think what John's eluding to is that if you're at a stationary point outside, in some of those places that's white you'd have...you could have service, depending on how many other people are trying to dial in on a given day at a given time. But everywhere that's yellow is going to have safe, adequate and reliable service, you know, from a vehicular design standard.

Chairman Buzzutto stated this is Omnipoint Communications.

Mr. Alexander stated correct.

Mr. Arthur stated also known as T-Mobil.

Mr. Alexander stated also known as T-Mobil.

Chairman Buzzutto stated as T-Mobil. Okay. That's on your...

Mr. Alexander stated that is the proposed anchor tenant. And we would anticipate that given the history here, you've had several carriers combing the area over the years. We expect all the carriers would have an interest in using it eventually.

Chairman Buzzutto stated well, you have anymore, Jerry. Jerry, did you have something to say. You want to say something.

Board Member Herbst stated no, no. It's personal [referring to his conversation with the Town Attorney].

Chairman Buzzutto stated oh.

Board Member Herbst stated it's on something else. I was saying that on the other side, you've got more in Connecticut than you do in New York on that. I was wondering if there was some kind of a rule. Something I heard somewhere, I don't know how true it is or anything, that you couldn't cross the state line with that.

Mr. Arthur stated well what the...Well, obviously you can't stop a signal from hitting the state line and stopping. The difficulty that the carriers have is that the FCC license is off these areas. In this case, you're an MTA, Major Training Area, or BTA, Basic Training Area. And for example you might have, let's say AT&T is in the A-band on this side of the border. Then they might have the B-band on this side of the border. And the A-band...the B-band might be Verizon, but over here it might be AT&T. So, what the carriers have done is they get together and they work out agreements on how far those coverages will overlap. So from their standpoint, it's not a pure, straight line where they can't go over; they can go over. If they have to, they'll adjust their antennas so they don't go as far because they can down tilt the antennas to reduce signal. They can reduce power levels. There's a lot of tricks they can use. They might point the antennas this way and this way, so they're not really pointing over. So this might just be spillage from some side lobes. But they work that out amongst themselves. You know, also carriers have roaming agreements with each other as well, so if the carriers in the same frequency band, you can pick up a different carrier to have a roaming arrangement with that carrier.

Chairman Buzzutto stated does Wireless Edge, at this time, have any inkling about putting repeater towers in; smaller towers in the future.

Mr. Arthur stated no, we don't have any plans of that.

Chairman Buzzutto stated you don't have any plans.

Mr. Arthur stated no. I mean, really, there would have to be a major need for us to develop another site. And that's really driven by the wireless carriers. We're not really in the repeater business ourselves.

Chairman Buzzutto stated is there anybody else who would like to something before we...Okay, fine. Thank you very much. Now we're going to have to ask for a balloon test, right.

Board Member Bodor stated yes.

Chairman Buzzutto stated we would request a balloon test again up there for the people.

Mr. Arthur stated is that something that can be coordinated with the planning part of the application...

Board Member Bodor stated yes.

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Mr. Arthur stated that way, you know, we can move that to the next step. We'd certainly be happy to do it.

Board Member Olenius stated I think we're going to try to coordinate with the Planning Board...

Mr. Alexander stated do it joint.

Board Member Olenius stated right. Do a joint site walk as well. Site walk one day and then maybe a balloon test a different day, so we have time to actually evaluate the site first, and then drive around the surrounding areas and see the balloon in the air. We can work that out.

Mr. Arthur stated it is a little tricky because it is in the woods and you have trees that are in the 80 to 100 foot level. You really have to have a very calm day. So it might be kind of a...a couple of options and maybe a last minute type of thing to get that. I mean, we were very lucky when we did the last balloon test that there was virtually no wind. The balloon went straight up and it stayed there. If it's high wind, over 5 miles an hour, you can get problems. Because it's in the woods, we can't bring a crane in like we did the last time to hold it in place.

Board Member Olenius stated right.

Chairman Buzzutto stated well, we'll do the best we can on this, that's all.

Mr. Alexander stated I'm guessing you rather do this on a Saturday or a Sunday morning, than a weekday morning.

Board Member Olenius stated judging by the distances that we have to walk in, I think it's going to be, because after work we only have about an hour of daylight now.

Mr. Alexander stated I know you need to coordinate with the Planning Board. How much lead time do you all need.

Board Member Olenius stated the Planning Board meets tomorrow night.

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Board Member Olenius stated so...

Board Member Bodor stated yes, but we are having difficulty getting a good time to do just a simple site walk for the month of November. It's going to have to go off until after Thanksgiving. That's just this Board, knowing our schedules. We had difficulties last night scheduling a site visit.

Mr. Arthur stated the access road is marked; we had a surveyor mark it. And the site is staked out.

Board Member Bodor stated well, we're going to want someone there to show it to us. We're not going in there...

Mr. Arthur stated no, no, no.

Board Member Bodor stated without help.

(Laughter)

Mr. Alexander stated we understand that. We'll give you the tour.

Board Member Bodor stated we expect that.

Mr. Arthur stated I didn't see any bears out there.

(Laughter)

TAPE ENDED

Board Member Burdick stated a site walk.

Chairman Buzzutto stated no. I mean the balloon test.

Board Member Burdick stated oh, I don't know.

Mr. Alexander stated your Code doesn't require it [referring to publishing notice]. We're more than willing to work with staff to get, you know, a similar notice the way you circulate notice of this meeting.

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Mr. Alexander stated and a balloon. I think we did that last time.

The Secretary stated I think so.

Mr. Alexander stated I think you have a form you like to use, at this point. Are you saying that basically the 7th, the 14th and the 21st are out.

Board Member Burdick stated yes.

Board Member Bodor stated yes.

Mr. Alexander stated okay. And the 28th you're going to tell me is out because people are traveling, right.

Board Member Bodor stated it's Thanksgiving week, yes.

Mr. Alexander stated okay. So on the 5th.

Board Member Burdick stated not going to be good for...

Mr. Alexander stated is the 5th out, too.

Board Member Bodor stated well, November, yes.

Board Member Burdick stated December.

Mr. Alexander stated no, December.

Mr. Arthur stated December's going to be a bit tricky.

Mr. Alexander stated I know.

Board Member Burdick stated I mean, unless we go to a Sunday. I heard today that we may be out of more.

Board Member Bodor stated oh really.

Board Member Burdick stated but, you know, I wouldn't want to hold out just for me. (Inaudible). Sundays. I mean I don't know how everyone feels about Sundays.

Board Member Bodor stated what about Sundays. What about Sundays.

Chairman Buzzutto stated Sundays okay for you guys.

Board Member Herbst stated depends on what Sunday it is.

Mr. Alexander stated so it's the 8th, the 15th or the 22nd, are the Sundays in November.

Board Member Bodor stated November is out.

Mr. Alexander stated okay, November is out.

Board Member Bodor stated so leave November...

Mr. Alexander stated got you.

Board Member Bodor stated out of the mix.

Mr. Alexander stated okay.

Board Member Bodor stated unfortunately.

Mr. Alexander stated the 6th of December is the first Sunday in December.

Mr. Arthur stated can you do the 5th.

Mr. Alexander stated you said the 5th is out.

Board Member Burdick stated the 5th is out. Yes.

Mr. Alexander stated okay.

Board Member Burdick stated any of the Sundays are okay in December.

Mr. Arthur stated I would say ten...I would yes.

Mr. Alexander stated let's do December 6th, Sunday. And if the weather doesn't hold, then we're going to have to push it to Monday, the 7th.

Board Member Bodor stated alright. So the 6th we're looking at the balloon.

Mr. Alexander stated yes. We'll do the site visit with you that day gladly, too. It makes sense to do it all together.

Board Member Bodor stated I don't think we can do both in the same day. Can we. Time wise. Can we do it all.

Mr. Alexander stated we'll give you the time. That's fine. We'll give you the time because that's a lot of...I know you have scheduling conflicts. It's just...

Chairman Buzzutto stated that's the balloon test and the site walk.

Board Member Bodor stated and the site walk together.

Chairman Buzzutto stated that's alright with me.

Board Member Bodor stated alright.

Chairman Buzzutto stated alright. Lars, do them both together.

Board Member Olenius stated yes.

Chairman Buzzutto stated balloon test and the site walk.

Board Member Bodor stated alright. So we'll plan on the 6th, right...of December.

Mr. Alexander stated great. And can we notice it such that if the weather doesn't hold for the 6th, that is automatically becomes the 7th for the balloon test.

Board Member Bodor stated we can't do it during the week.

Chairman Buzzutto stated well...

Mr. Alexander stated okay.

Board Member Bodor stated because people work.

Mr. Alexander stated so let's do...Should we do the...Do it around the 12th.

Mr. Arthur stated of what.

Mr. Alexander stated of December.

Board Member Burdick stated the Saturdays aren't...Saturdays are not going to be good.

Mr. Alexander stated Saturdays are a no-go. So why don't we notice it as the 6th, assuming the weather's good. And should the weather not be good it will be on the 13th, so that way we get the notice out rather than it all of the sudden being the 7th and the weather was bad and we have to renotece.

Chairman Buzzutto stated alright. Well, the 6th will be the first date.

Board Member Burdick stated is Rich here.

The Secretary stated yes. He's in the back.

Chairman Buzzutto stated he said he would come back.

Board Member Bodor stated he's in the back.

Board Member Burdick stated okay. Did you hear those dates for the Planning Board, Rich.

Rich Williams stated I'll get them from Sarah tomorrow.

Board Member Burdick stated okay.

Mr. Alexander stated the other question I have is, can we go back to your regular agenda. I'm looking at the...Or should we do a special meeting maybe the week of December 14th.

Chairman Buzzutto stated we'll see (inaudible) now.

Mr. Alexander stated it's however you want to...I mean, it's your...

Board Member Bodor stated yes.

Mr. Alexander stated I'm just...

Chairman Buzzutto stated it depends on what the agenda is for the regular meeting.

Mr. Alexander stated I just know I want to meet with you guys in December...

Board Member Burdick stated yes.

Mr. Alexander stated and try and, you know, bring some closure here and set up a public hearing in the process.

Board Member Olenius stated I'm going to say we're probably going to need a special meeting only because...

Mr. Alexander stated okay.

Board Member Olenius stated our regular meeting last night had another cell tower thing, and that kind of full, so... And they're coming back in December. We haven't site walked them yet.

Mr. Alexander stated should we try and schedule a public...A continuation of this meeting for just the week of December 14th. It sounds like you don't need additional materials from us, from listening tonight.

Chairman Buzzutto stated December the 14th.

Board Member Bodor stated our regular meeting is the 16th.

Mr. Alexander stated and then the following week is the Christmas week.

Board Member Bodor stated right.

Board Member Burdick stated yes.

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Mr. Alexander stated and I'm guessing that it probably doesn't make sense for us to spend time with all until you've got a chance to see another balloon test and walk the site. I'm not hearing that there's any materials tonight that you feel you need, so...Right now you have two things on the agenda right now. It's the Verizon tower and one...

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Mr. Alexander stated other thing on your regular agenda.

Board Member Olenius stated as of right now.

Chairman Buzzutto stated as of right now.

Mr. Alexander stated okay.

Board Member Olenius stated but based on filing deadlines the November meeting December meeting's December 1st. So there could be more on the regular agenda.

Mr. Alexander stated I just don't...Like I said, I just would like to meet with you all again and, you know, before the year's out.

Chairman Buzzutto stated well, yes. Before the year ends, yes. We can do that.

Mr. Alexander stated I'm looking at the calendar and then all of the sudden it's Christmas and New Year's weeks, because they're both short weeks.

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Mr. Alexander stated Christmas and New Year's both being, you know, Fridays.

Board Member Burdick stated can you do it the Tuesday before our regular meeting.

Board Member Bodor stated the 15th.

Board Member Burdick stated yes.

Board Member Bodor stated yes, I don't see why not. But if can we get a space somewhere.

Board Member Burdick stated okay.

Board Member Bodor stated we may not even need this [referring to having the meeting at the Rec Center].

Board Member Burdick stated yes. Even if we can do it in the Town Hall.

The Secretary stated okay.

Board Member Burdick stated Sarah's going to look...Is the 15th okay with everybody.

Chairman Buzzutto stated the 15th of...

Board Member Bodor stated that 15th of December.

Board Member Olenius stated the day before the regular meeting.

Board Member Bodor stated yes.

Board Member Burdick stated yes. Is that okay.

Mr. Alexander stated thank you.

Board Member Olenius stated December 15th...

Board Member Burdick stated and Sarah will check to see which room; it will be here or Town Hall.
Okay.

Mr. Alexander stated okay.

Chairman Buzzutto stated seeing the turnout here tonight though, the Town Hall will be...

Board Member Bodor stated well, that's we're saying.

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes. We'll have to let you know whether it's going to be here or the Town Hall.
Because the crowd here the Town Hall would accommodate.

Mr. Alexander stated yes.

Board Member Bodor stated okay. So we're planning on the site visit and the balloon on the 6th with an alternate date of the 13th.

Chairman Buzzutto stated 13th.

Board Member Bodor stated and perhaps the meeting on the 15th then. Depending on if we can get space for it.

Chairman Buzzutto stated right. Now these two days are Sundays, right.

Board Member Olenius stated what's the time for the 6th.

Board Member Bodor stated yes.

Board Member Burdick stated what time for the 6th.

Mr. Alexander stated whatever works for you all. I mean...

Board Member Olenius stated we usually do 9:00 a.m.

Mr. Alexander stated the only thing is...

Mr. Arthur stated just because we have to watch the sunlight because these days, what...7:30 or so it's getting light and (inaudible – too many speaking) bringing big gas tank back there. So last time it took us a good hour to get in and get set up.

Board Member Olenius stated I'll be quite honest with you, just walking the site doesn't necessitate the balloon being up at that point in time. I'd actually rather walk the site first and then see the balloon...

Mr. Alexander stated and then drive around.

Board Member Bodor stated yes.

Board Member Olenius stated at the end.

Mr. Alexander stated right.

Board Member Olenius stated you know what I mean. So if we can work cooperative and when you're bringing it in, we can...

Mr. Arthur stated okay. Fair enough.

Board Member Olenius stated be just kind of moving around.

Mr. Alexander stated so let's do 9 o'clock then.

Mr. Arthur stated that's okay.

Chairman Buzzutto stated or is that too early.

Board Member Olenius stated we don't expect you to be done by 9:45 [a.m.].

(Laughter)

Board Member Olenius stated it's going to take us that long to walk up there.

Board Member Bodor stated 9 a.m.

Board Member Herbst stated what time do you have it set for.

Board Member Olenius stated 9 a.m.

Board Member Herbst stated 9 a.m.

Mr. Alexander stated what's...I don't know if the memo from the Town Planner was issued just for you. If there are issues in there that...

Board Member Olenius stated it said...

Mr. Alexander stated you want us to look at, we'll take a look at them.

Board Member Olenius stated it said it was copied to you. I looked at it.

Mr. Alexander stated okay. It may have been...it may be sitting in my inbox by email and I just didn't see it, or by regular mail. I wasn't in my office today, so...

Chairman Buzzutto stated Rich, you want to...

Rich Williams stated yes. We'll check on it in the morning to make sure it got out.

Mr. Alexander stated it's probably sitting on...I'm sure it's sitting on my desk. I had a speaking engagement today upstate, so.

Board Member Olenius stated I saw you were cc'd on it, so...

Mr. Alexander stated I'm sure it's there.

Board Member Bodor stated the date on this was August 27th. It wasn't yesterday.

Mr. Alexander stated I'm sorry. Okay, so I'm sure I've seen that then. Okay.

Board Member Bodor stated yes. It isn't that current.

Mr. Alexander stated I thought you meant it was a brand new one. So August 22nd means it relates to the week...Okay.

Carl Lodes stated I have one from October 28th.

Board Member Olenius stated there's a new one, too.

Rich Williams stated there should be one...

Board Member Bodor stated oh, there is.

Board Member Burdick stated yes.

Rich Williams stated from yesterday.

Board Member Olenius stated October 28th.

Board Member Burdick stated I have one that's dated October 28th.

Board Member Bodor stated I'm sorry.

Mr. Alexander stated no.

Board Member Bodor stated where was I.

Mr. Alexander stated and if anything comes up in between, you know, or there are some other thoughts you have, you know, we have extra time to address them so, we would gladly address them. In the mean time (inaudible) just find about (inaudible – laughter) afterwards.

Board Member Bodor stated okay, so we look forward to seeing you on the site.

Chairman Buzzutto stated I want to thank the people who showed up here tonight for their input.

Board Member Bodor stated and the public hearing does remain open.

Chairman Buzzutto stated and the public hearing...if you want to come back.

Audience member stated sorry. We didn't hear the conversations. Is the next public meeting...When would that be, please.

Chairman Buzzutto stated on the...

Board Member Burdick stated on the 15th.

Board Member Bodor stated the 15th of December is the date that we're planning for the next public meeting.

Audience member stated thank you.

Board Member Bodor stated and we are hopeful to have a site visit, which the Board will be taking. But you can view the balloon that is going to be up, hopefully, on the 6th of December.

Audience member stated thank you.

Board Member Bodor stated you can walk around the Lake and see if you can find it like we're going to do. Okay. If it's a real windy day, however, they can't fly the balloon that day. And the alternate is the 13th. This is all in December.

Chairman Buzzutto stated okay. Thank you very much for your...

Mr. Alexander stated thank you.

Chairman Buzzutto stated input.

Board Member Bodor stated shall we adjourn the meeting.

Chairman Buzzutto stated yes.

Board Member Bodor stated I make a motion.

Board Member Burdick stated second.

Board Member Bodor stated all in favor. Motion was carried by a vote of 5 to 0.

Meeting was adjourned at 8:13 p.m.